



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_39886
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictors of Unmet Need for Contraception among Urban and Rural Women in Anambra State, Nigeria: A Mixed Method Survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Write the citation no. not outside of the full stop. For example , Overall, Nigerian women have about one child more than the number they want. This implies 17 that the total fertility rate of 5.5 is 15% higher than it would be if all unwanted births were 18 avoided [1].	Thank you for you review. Corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If any cell, there is less than 5 responses than Chi square test is not applicable. The author still use Chi Square test in many variables where response is less 5 in one cell. Author should use Fisher's exact test here.	Fisher's exact test is interpreted for categorical variables if > 20% of cells have expected frequency > 5. It is also typically interpreted for dichotomous variables (2 x 2 contingency) in SPSS. The variables in this study did not meet these conditions, thus the Chi-square value was interpreted.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		