



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_38452
Title of the Manuscript:	Curbing Polypharmacy Among Primary Health Care Workers: The Impact of An Educational Intervention
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	After reading the manuscript, I believe that there are some points that can get a little more attention, making it a little better: Initially, there are some passages in the introduction, in particular between lines 44 and 63, in that the writing and organization of the text could be reviewed, because they are a little repetitive and confusing. However, the content of the introduction itself is appropriate. In relation to methodology, in general, it is appropriate, but I would like that the process of intervention with the groups were a little bit more detailed, so that another researchers would be able reproduce these experiments more easily. As for results, the presentation and statistical analysis are adequate and sufficient. As for the discussion of the results, I believe that some of the references used are very old, there are some that could be exchanged for other newer, updated and, possibly, more relevant. This modification in the manuscript would also make the manuscript itself more interesting, because you would be discussing the results obtained with the current reality. In general, the text shows interesting and presents results that can that can serve as a basis for new interventions that may improve the current situation of many health professionals and their patients.	Introduction: this aspect has been reviewed and re-structured, with repetitions struck out or cancelled. (As highlighted in paragraphs 2 and 3 of the introduction) Methodology: the process of intervention, including the topics covered and the recesses and interactive (question-and-answer) sessions have been included as much as possible and highlighted under the "study methods" aspect of the methodology section. Results: No alterations were recommended here. Discussion: Old references have been struck out, updated and replaced with more recent ones (as highlighted in the references section).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	---	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	---	