



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_38084
Title of the Manuscript:	Sexual Health Communication Strategies and HIV/AIDS Awareness among Students in Teachers' Colleges in Central Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: Replace "Aim" with "background". Then replace the current information with information that describes the prevailing problems in the country or region that prompted the need for the study. Line 16- conclusion Revise the statement "awareness is high in prevent but low..." I suggest you use the word prevention Line 57-Available literature e.g. [11], [12], [4]. Remove the word e.g. and use the following reference format: [11, 12, 4]. Line 103-I suggest that you separate results and discussion. This will help you to describe how your findings are supported by other studies. I also suggest that all the reference statements in the discussion should start with study finding statement and its implication. This should be followed with how the finding is supported by other studies and end with the reference number of that study [15, 20, 40...]. Put all reference numbers after the quoted information and not before. Avoid using statements like according to [16] (Read above comment on how to make a reference). An example statement could run like "A study in USA found that 6-42 percent of the students had misconceptions on...[16]. Line 195-Do not write what your truth is. The statement on line 194 is sufficient. Line 165-"Sampled 4644 students". At this stage you are only supposed to indicate the results and not the sampling. Line 195-211: The information contained here is irrelevant for the paper and should be removed. Line 241-"This points to how they integration is being done". I suggest you replace "they" with "the". In addition, the statement does not tell us the implication. You can remove the statement NB: I suggest that you rewrite both section of results and discussion separately. Before re-writing the sections, download an international journal paper and focus on how they have been written and how they have made references to other studies. Ethical issue: There is no reference to informed consent or ethical approval	Has been done as suggested by the reviewer (see abstract lines 5-22) Changed as suggested Changed as suggested These suggestions have been addressed. Have been addressed. Results and discussion sections have been separated. Have been included.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		