



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_36283
Title of the Manuscript:	Efinaconazole 10% topical solution: treatment of mild to moderate toenail onychomycosis in Japanese subjects
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Table 1 and table 2 should also have columns for the entire population since the discussion spends a lot of time discussing Japanese vs. non-Japanese patients. Please comment further about the high prevalence of tinea pedis among Japanese patients and why more Japanese patients had tinea pedis treated concurrently with the nail.	These have been added in the revised manuscript We do not know why and feel it would be unwise to simply speculate
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		