Spectrum and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Uropathogens: Indoor versus outdoor isolates.

1

2

11

ABSTRACT

Background & objectives: The resistance of uropathogens to commonly prescribed antimicrobials is increasing globally. As the susceptibility of uropathogens varies according to place and time, the present study was undertaken to know the local epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns (AMSP) of common bacterial uropathogens. This helps in formulating effective empirical treatment.

Method: This is a prospective observational study, where a total of 3353 consecutive urine specimens over a period of one year in a tertiary care hospital were cultured by semiquantitative method. The pathogens isolated were <u>identified</u> by standard methods and their antimicrobial susceptibility was done by Kirby Bauer disk diffusion method as per Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. The data was analyzed by using WHONET 5.6 software.

Results : Of the total 3353 samples, 63% were sterile, 24% showed significant growth, 5.27% showed insignificant growth and 7.45% were collection contaminants. The 812 samples with significant growth yielded 988 bacterial isolates with 814 (82%) gram negative bacilli (GNB) and 174 (18%) gram positive cocci (GPC). Gram negative uropathogens had low susceptibility to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole, norfloxacin and cephalosporins. They had good susceptibility to nitrofurantoin and aminoglycosides like amikacin, gentamicin followed by piperacillin-tazobactam and meropenem. ESBL production was observed amongst 40% of *Escherichia coli* and 60% of *Klebiella pneumoniae* isolates. Similarly gram positive uropathogens had low susceptibility to fluoroquinolones like norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin and tetracycline. They had good susceptibility to vancomycin, teicoplanin, linezolid and nitrofurantoin. Amongst the isolates from In Patient department (IPD) 36% of *Staphylococcus aureus* were MRSA and 2% of *Enterococcus* were Vancomycin Resistant *Entercoccus* (VRE).

Conclusion- Local epidemiology and susceptibility pattern of uropathogens should be studied to formulate effective empirical treatment regimen. Our study recommends use of Nitrofurantoin as best antimicrobial for UTI in uncomplicated, non-hospitalised patients. And use of aminoglycosides, or β lactam - β lactamase inhibitor combination agents like piperacillin/tazobactum and cefaperazone-sulbactam in complicated and serious hospitalized patients.

12 13

14 Key words: Uropathogens, AMSP, MDR

15 16 INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) is one of the most common infections observed in clinical
 practice among community and hospitalized patients. Urinary tract infection often results in
 serious complications like secondary bacteremia and sepsis leading to a rise in mortality [1].

20

UTIs are the fourth most common type of Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAUTIs) [2]. HAUTIs are some of the most-frequently occurring Healthcare Associated Infections (HAIs).

In a recent U.S. wide multistate point prevalence survey, 12.9% of all HAI were due to

24 HAUTI [3,4]. In a European point prevalence survey conducted by the European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). HAUTI accounted for 19.0% of all HAI [3.5]. 25 26 Virtually all healthcare-associated UTIs are caused by instrumentation of the urinary tract. 27 The community acquired Urinary tract infections are mainly uncomplicated, and are mainly 28 caused by Escherichia coli as they are normal flora of human intestine and therefore easily 29 colonize the urinary tract. Uncomplicated UTIs in healthy women have an incidence of 50/1000/year [6]. An estimated 50% of women experience at least one episode of UTI at 30 some point in their lifetime and between 20% and 40% of women have recurrent episodes 31 32 [7,8]. Approximately 20% of UTIs occur in men [9]. 33 The favorable chemical composition of human urine can support the growth of several 34 different strains of bacteria. E. coli is the cause of 80-85% of urinary tract infections, with 35 Enterococcus species being the other main cause. Other bacterial species that causes the 36 UTI include Klebsiella, Proteus, Pseudomonas, and Enterobacter. UTI may also be due to 37 fungal or viral infections, although these are uncommon and typically related to abnormalities 38 of the urinary system or urinary catheterization. Urinary tract infections due to 39 Staphylococcus aureus typically occurs secondary to blood borne infections [10,11]. 40 41 The introduction of antimicrobial therapy has contributed significantly to the management of 42 UTIs, however the main problem with current antibiotic therapies is the rapid emergence of 43 antimicrobial resistance in hospitals and the community due to rampart and indiscriminate 44 use of antibiotics. This study was carried out to determine the prevalent uropathogens with 45 their antimicrobial susceptibility pattern to commonly used antimicrobials to formulate an 46 effective antibiotic policy for empirical treatment in our community and hospital setup. We 47 also compared the antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the bacterial isolates between outpatients

- 48 49 50
- 50 51

52 MATERIAL AND METHODS:

antibiotics usage.

53 A prospective observational study was carried out in the bacteriology laboratory of the 54 Department of Microbiology from Jan 2016 to December 2016. Urine samples were received 55 from various outpatient Departments (OPDs) and Inpatient Departments (IPDs) of a tertiary 56 care hospital. Clean catch, midstream urine samples and urine from catheterized patients 57 were collected in sterile universal containers and immediately transported to laboratory and 58 processed. The samples were plated on Cystine lactose electrolyte deficient (CLED) agar by 59 the semi quantitative plating method using the calibrated loop technique (0.001 mL). Plates 60 were incubated aerobically overnight at 37 °C. In voided midstream urine sample depending upon the number of the colonies grown on the CLED medium, the urine cultures were 61 62 interpreted as "insignificant" (<10 colonies corresponding to 10³ colony count), and 63 "significant" (≥100 colonies corresponding to 10⁵ colony count). Urine cultures with doubtful significance (>10 - <100 colonies corresponding to 10⁴-10⁵ colony count) were repeated and 64 65 interpreted with clinical correlation [12,13]. However, in catheterized patients, colony count of >10³CFU/mI was considered as significant bacteriuria. Mixture of more than 2 organism 66 types with no predominating organism was reported as grossly contaminated [2]. 67 68 Conventional methods were used to identify the bacterial isolates [14]. Antimicrobial 69 susceptibility test (AST) was done on Mueller Hinton agar (Himedia Labs Ltd), by the Kirby 70 Bauer disc diffusion technique, according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute 71 (CLSI) guidelines 2016 [15].

and inpatients. Formulation of effective empirical treatment gives appropriate treatment and

in addition helps preventing drug resistance by avoiding inappropriate and indiscriminate

72

Data was entered and analyzed in WHONET 5.6 software. Chi square test and fisher's exact
 test were used to analyze statistical significant difference between sensitivity of OPD and
 IPD isolates. Yate's correction was also applied wherever necessary and a P value of <0.05
 was considered significant.

RESULTS

A total of 3353 consecutive urine samples were included in the study. Out of which, 63% (2114) were sterile, 24% (812) showed significant growth, 5.27% (177) showed insignificant growth and 7.45% (250) were collection contaminants. The 812 samples with significant growth yielded 988 bacterial isolates with 82% (814) gram negative bacilli (GNB) and 18% (174) gram positive cocci (GPC).

Table 1 Distribution of gram positive isolates in UTI (n=174)				
No.	Isolate	OPD (n=23)	IPD (n=151)	Total (n= 174)
1	Enterococcus spp	18 (78.26%)	136 (90%)	154
2	Staphylococcus aureus	5 (21.74%)	11 (7%)	16
3	Coagulase negative staphylococci	-	4 (3%)	4

Table 2

Antimicrobial sensitivity of gram positive isolates (n=174)

No.	Antibiotics	OPD	IPD	P value		
		(n=23)	(n=151)			
		(% of sensitivity)	(% of sensitivity)			
		1 st Line dru	gs			
1	Penicillin G	05 (22%)	23(15%)	P value-0.42 (NS)		
2	Norfloxacin	07(30%)	39(26 %)	P value-0. 64 (NS)		
3	Nitrofurantoin	20 (87%)	125(83%)	P value-0.88 (NS)		
4	Ciprofloxacin	07 (30 %)	32 (21 %)	P value-0.32 (NS)		
5	Tetracycline	12 (52%)	94 (62 %)	P value-0.35 (NS)		
2 nd Line drugs						
6	Vancomycin	23(100%)	148 (98%)	P value-0.5(NS)		
7	Teicoplanin	23(100%)	148 (98%)	P value-0.5(NS)		
8	Linezolid	23 (100%)	151 (100%)	P value-1.00(NS)		

Majority of UTI infections caused by gram positive cocci were due to Enterococcus, followed by Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrobial sensitivity of gram positive isolates for all antibiotics

among OPD and IPD showed similar pattern and the difference was not statistically

significant. In our study gram positive uropathogens had low susceptibility to

fluoroquinolones like norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin and tetracycline. They had good susceptibility to vancomycin, teicoplanin, linezolid and nitrofurantoin.

Enterococcus species has intrinsic resistance to cotrimoxazole and low level aminoglycoside resistance, hence these agents are neither tested nor reported for Enterococcus isolates [15]. Antimicrobial sensitivity of Staphylococcus isolates to cotrimoxazole was 40% (2/5 isolates) in OPD and 87%(13/15 isolates) in IPD isolates and to gentamicin was 0% (0/5 isolates) in OPD and 87% (13/15 isolates) in IPD isolates.

109

111

1 2

3

Table 3

5<mark>0 % (9/18)</mark>

0 (0/18)

<mark>58 % (78/135)</mark>

<mark>2% (3/136)</mark>

Percentage of Multi drug resistant gram positive isolates.				
<mark>No.</mark>	Parameters .	OPD (% of sensitivity)	IPD (% of sensitivity)	
<mark>1</mark>	Percentage of MRSA	<mark>0 (0/5)</mark>	<mark>36 % (4/11)</mark>	

Percentage of HLAR Enterococcus

Percentage of VRE

1	1	2

113 Also, urinary tract infections by multi drug resistant gram-positive cocci is more in IPD patients as compared to OPD patients with infection by MRSA contributing to 36%, High 114 Level Aminoglycoside Resistance (HLAR) and Vancomycin Resistance amongst 115 Enterococcus (VRE) as 58% and 2% respectively. Emergence of Vancomycin resistance in 116 Enterococcus is of alarming and great concern in IPD patients. 117

118

119 120

Table 4 Distribution of gram negative isolates in UTI (n=814)

No	Name of isolate	OPD (n=121)	IPD (n= 693)	Total (n=693)
1	Escherichia coli	60 (50%)	347 (50%)	407
2	Klebsiella pneumoniae	20 (17%)	90 (13%)	110
3	Enterobacter spp	20 (17%)	60 (9%)	80
4	Citrobacter spp	3 (2%)	30 (4%)	32
5	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	8 (7%)	89 (12%)	97
6	Acinetobacter spp	7 (6%)	40 (8%)	47
7	Other nonfermenter GNB	3 (2%)	20 (3%)	23
8	Proteus spp	-	17 (2%)	17
9	Total	121	693	814

121

122 Urinary tract infections are predominantly caused by Escherichia coli, followed by Klebsiella 123 pneumoniae. Trend of organism in both OPD and IPD patients is similar, except for higher percentage of infections by nonfermenters in IPD patients. 124

125

126

127

Table 5

Antimicrobial sensitivity testing of gram negative isolates (n=814)

No.	Antibiotic	OPD (% of sensitivity) (n=121)	IPD (% of sensitivity) (n=693)	P value P<0.001 – Statistically significant		
	1 st Line drugs					
1	Amikacin	87 (72%)	401 (58%)	P value =0.003 (HS)		
2	Ampicillin	07 (7 %)	42 (6%)	P value =0.81 (NS)		
	Nitrofurantoin	105 (87%)	453 (65%)	P value =0.0001 (VHS)		
3	Tetracycline	63 (52%)	311 (45%)	P value =0.143 (NS)		

4	Gentamicin	74 (61%)	367 (53%)	P value =0.09 (NS)		
5	Norfloxacin	53 (44%)	214 (31%)	P value =0.005(S)		
6	Cefotaxime	27(22%)	97 (14%)	P value =0.01 (NS)		
7	Cotrimoxazole	36 (30%)	200 (29%)	P value =0.84 (NS)		
	2 nd Line drugs					
8	Meropenem	85(70 %)	360 (52%)	P value =0.0001 (HS)		
9	Cefoperazone sulbactam	83 (69%)	311 (45%)	P value =0.0001 (HS)		
10	Piperacillin tazobactam	87 (72%)	408 (59%)	P value =0.006 (S)		
11	Cefepime	47 (39%)	228 (33%)	P value =0.20(NS)		
12	Aztreonam	47 (39%)	152 (22%)	P value=0.0006(HS)		

128

129 On comparing antimicrobial sensitivity of gram negative isolates, OPD isolates were more

130 sensitive and the difference were statistically significant for antimicrobials like amikacin,

nitrofurantoin, norfloxacin, meropenem, cefoperazone- sulbactam, piperacillin tazobactamand aztreonam.

133 In our study gram negative uropathogens had low susceptibility to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole,

134 norfloxacin and cephalosporins. They had good susceptibility to nitrofurantoin and

aminoglycosides like amikacin, gentamicin followed by piperacillin-tazobactam and

meropenem. In our study 40 % of the *E. coli* isolates and 60% of *Klebsiella spp* were
 Extended spectrum β lactamase (ESBL) producers.

138

139 DISCUSSION

This study provides valuable data to compare and monitor the status of antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens to improve efficient empirical treatment. Increasing antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens has been documented globally. In our study, 24% of isolates showed significant bacteriuria, which is comparable to other Indian studies like Mandal et al [16] and Lakshmi et al [1] showing significant bacteriuria as 26.01% and 23.85% respectively.

146

147 In our study amongst the gram negative bacteria, *Escherichia coli* was the predominant

148 pathogen followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and other Enterobacteriaceae. Similarly,

amongst gram positive cocci, there was predominance of *Enterococcus* followed by

150 Staphylococcus aureus. Global Prevalence of infections in urology, web-based multinational,

151 multicentre point study carried in 70 countries showed similar trend of organisms with

152 predominance of *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae,* followed by other

153 enterobacteriaciae and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* amongst gram negative bacilli. It also

154 showed predominance of *Enterococcus* followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* amongst gram

155 positive cocci [19]. This finding is consistent with other Indian studies as well [1,10,11,17,18].

156 Enterobacteriaceae have several factors responsible for their attachment to the

uroepithelium. These gram negative aerobic bacteria colonize the urogenital mucosa withadhesion, pili, fimbriae, and P1blood group phenotype receptor [17].

Our study reveals 40% of the E. coli isolates and 60% of Klebsiella spp were ESBL 159 producers. Aggarwal et al. reported 40% of E. coli and 54.54% of Klebsiella species from 160 uropathogens to be ESBL producers from Rohtak, Haryana [18]. In another study from 161 Rajasthan, Dalela etal reported 73% of E. coli and 59% of Klebsiella species from 162 163 uropathogens to be ESBL producers [11]. This geographical difference may be due to 164 different patterns of antibiotic usage. Our study confirms the global trend towards increased 165 resistance to β lactam antibiotics. ESBL producing bacteria may not be detectable by routine 166 disk diffusion susceptibility test, leading to inappropriate use of antibiotics and treatment failure. It is emphasized that institutions should employ appropriate tests for their detectionand avoid indiscriminate use of third generation cephalosporins.

169

Methicillin resistance was found in 36% of the *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from IPD. Dalela etal reported overall prevalence of MRSA in uropathogens as 42.4% [11]. Aggarwal et al also reported prevalance of MRSA in uropathogens as 36.84% [20]. Emergence of 2% VRE in IPD set-up is alarming and emphasizes importance of infection control measures to control its spread and transfer of vancomycin resistance to Staphylococci. Mandall et al has reported 3.2% VRE in uropathogens [16].

176

177 In our study, there is low sensitivity of gram negative isolates to oral antimicrobials like 178 ampicillin (7% in OPD and 6% in IPD patients) and cotrimoxazole (30% in OPD and 29% in 179 IPD patients). Similarly gram positive isolates from OPD setup show only 40% sensitivity to 180 cotrimoxazole. These findings are in consistence with the recent data reported from other 181 developing and developed countries. [1,11,16,21]. The high antibiotic resistance against 182 ampicillin and cotrimoxazole could be attributed to their wide usage for a variety of other 183 indications and is a matter of concern and their use as empirical treatment should be 184 stopped.

185

186 Fluoroquinolones have a wide variety of indications, they permeate most body 187 compartments, and are ubiquitously prescribed, accounting for the emergence of their 188 resistance. In our study amongst gram negative bacteria only 44% OPD isolates and 31% 189 IPD isolates were sensitive to norfloxacin. Similarily amongst gram positive cocci, only 29 % 190 OPD isolates and 26% IPD isolates were sensitive to norfloxacin. Also, ciprofloxacin 191 resistance in Gram positive cocci is 27% in OPD and 21% in IPD patients. This increasing 192 resistance to fluoroquinolones is also documented in other studies [1,16,21]. Our findings 193 indicate that urgent strategies to counteract increased resistance to these drugs must be 194 developed or their use in uncomplicated infections should be strictly curtailed.

Global Prevalence of infections in urology, web-based multinational, multicentre point study
 carried in 70 countries across 4 continents Asia, Africa, Europe and America showed low
 sensitivity to cotrimoxazole, cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones [19].

198

In the present study, a good sensitivity to nitrofurantoin amongst gram positive isolates (OPD – 86% and IPD 83%) and gram-negative isolates (87% in OPD and 65% in IPD patients) was observed. Our findings are like other Indian studies which have also demonstrated nitrofurantoin as an appropriate agent for first line treatment of community acquired UTIs [1,16,21]. Given the fact that Nitrofurantoin has no role in the treatment of other infections, it can be administered orally and is highly concentrated in urine; it may therefore be the most appropriate agent for empirical use in uncomplicated UTI.

Aminoglycosides being injectables are used restrictively in the community care setting and
 hence have shown better sensitivity rates. Gram negative isolates from OPD had sensitivity
 of 72% and 61% to amikacin and gentamicin respectively. Staphylococcus isolates from
 OPD setup also showed 100% sensitivity to gentamicin.

210

As per Global Prevalence of Infections in Urology worldwide surveillance study resistance
rates of all antibiotics tested other than carbapenems against the total bacterial spectrum
were higher than 10% in all regions. Resistance to almost all pathogens was lowest in North
Europe and highest in Asia [2].

215

So, recommendations based on findings of our study in our set up are for uncomplicated
 non-hospitalised patient's nitrofurantoin is the best antimicrobial. For complicated Urinary
 tract infections or serious hospitalized patient's aminoglycosides, or β lactam-β lactamase

inhibitor combination agents like piperacillin/tazobactum and cefaperazone sulbactam can
 be effective. Carbapenems should be reserved for very serious hospital acquired infections.

221 222 CONCLUSION

223 Among the oral drugs norfloxacin, tetracycline and co-trimoxazole should no longer be 224 considered as the first line drugs for the empirical treatment of UTI. Nitrofurantoin can be 225 safely used for un-complicated UTI. Parenteral drugs such as aminoglycosides, and Beta 226 lactam and beta lactam inhibitor combination agents like piperacillin/tazobactam, 227 cefaperazone-sulbactam can be the alternative for complicated UTI. Carbapenems should 228 be reserved for very serious life-threatening infections. Escalation or de-escalation of 229 antibiotics should be done as per sensitivity pattern. Also, control measures which include 230 the judicious use of antibiotics, antibiotic cycling, the implementation of appropriate infection 231 control measures and the formulation of an antibiotic policy must be done, to prevent the 232 spread of these MDR strains. It is essential to test and report ESBLs, Vancomycin resistance 233 in enterococcus and MRSA production along with the routine susceptibility testing, which will 234 help the clinicians in prescribing proper antibiotics. 235

236 COMPETING INTERESTS – NIL

238 ACKNOWLEDGMENT –

239 240

237

241 **REFERENCES**:

- Lakshmi PV, Leela KS. Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Uropathogens Isolated in a Rural Teaching Hospital in South India. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2015) 4(6): 160-167.
- 245 2) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017). NHSN Catheter-Associated Urinary
 246 Tract Infection Surveillance in 2017. Retrieved from
 247 http://www.cdc.gov/scalise March22.
- Wagenlehner F, Tandogdu Z, Bartoletti R, Cai T, etal. The Global Prevalence of
 Infections in Urology Study: A Long-Term, Worldwide Surveillance Study on Urological
 Infections. Pathogens 2016, 5, 10; doi:10.3390/pathogens 5010010.
- 4) Magill S.S.; Edwards J.R.; Bamberg W, Beldavs ZG, etal. Multistate point-prevalence
 survey of health care-associated infections. N. Engl. J. Med. 2014, 370, 1198–1208.
- 5) European Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Point Prevalence Survey of
 Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Use in European Acute Care
 Hospitals, 2011–2012; European Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Stockholm,
 Sweden, 2013.
- 257 6) De Backer D, Christiaens T, Heytens S, De Sutter A etal. Evolution of bacterial
 258 susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* in uncomplicated urinary
 259 tract infections in a country with high antibiotic consumption: A comparison of two
- 260 surveys with a 10year interval. Antimicrob Chemother 2008;62:3648.

7) Rock W, Colodner R, Chazan B, Elias M, etal. Ten years surveillance of antimicrobial
 susceptibility of community acquired *Escherichia coli* and other uropathogens in Northern
 Israel. Israel Med Assoc J 2007;9:8035.

- 8) Vasquez Y, Hand WL. Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of community acquired urinary
 tract infection isolates from female patients on the US (Texas) Mexico Border. J Appl Res
 2004;4:3216.
- 9) Griebling TL. Urinary tract infection in men. In: Litwin MS, Saigal CS, editors. Urologic
 Diseases in America. DHHS, PHS, NIH, NIDDK. Washington, DC: GPO;2007. NIH
 publication 075512.
- 270 10) Chowdhury S, Parial R. Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Bacteria among Urinary
- 271 Tract Infection Patients in Chittagong, Bangladesh. SMU Medical Journal. (2015) 2(1): 114-

272 126. 273 11) Dalela G, Gupta S, Jain DK, Mehta P. Antibiotic Resistance Pattern in Uropathogens at 274 a Tertiary Care Hospital at Jhalawar with Special Reference to Esbl, AmpC b-Lactamase 275 and MRSA Production. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2012 May (Suppl-2), 276 Vol-6(4): 645-651. 277 12) Collee JG, Duguid JP, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A. Laboratory strategy in the 278 diagnosis of infective syndromes. In: Collee JG, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A, 279 editors. Mackie & McCartney practical medical microbiology, 14th ed. New York: Churchill 280 Livingstone; 1999. p. 84-90. 281 13) James HJ, John DT. Susceptibility Test Methods: Dilution and Disk Diffusion methods. 282 In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorensen JH, Landry ML, Michael AP, editors. Manual of clinical 283 microbiology, 10th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Society for Microbiology Press; 2007. p. 284 1152-72. 285 286 14) Guidelines for the Collection, Transport, Processing, Analysis and reporting of cultures from specific specimen sources. In: Koneman EW, Allen SD, Janda WM, Schreckenberger 287 PC, Winn WC. Color atlas and textbook of diagnostic microbiology. 6 th ed. Philadelphia: 288 289 Lippincott: 2006, p. 82-86. 15) CLSI Guidelines 2017. M100 S-27th edition. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute 290 291 Performance standards for Antimicrobial Suseptibility testing:1-148. 292 16.) Mandal J, Acharya NS, Buddhapriya D & Parija SC. Antibiotic resistance pattern among 293 common bacterial uropathogens with a special reference to ciprofloxacin resistant 294 Escherichia coli. Indian J Med Res 136, November 2012 :842-849 295 17) Sood S, Gupta R. Antibiotic resistance pattern of community acquired uropathogens at a 296 tertiary care hospital in Jaipur, Rajasthan. Indian journal of Community medicine. 2012 37 297 (1): 39-44 298 18) Aggarwal R, Chaudhary U, Sikka R. Detection of Extended spectrum b lactamase 299 production among uropathogens. J Lab Physicians 2009 Jan-Jun; 1(1): 7-10. 300 19) Zafer Tandoo du, Ricardo Bartoletti, Tomasso Cai etal, Antimicrobial resistance in 301 urosepsis: outcomes from the multinational, multicenter global prevalence of infections in 302 urology (GPIU) study 2003–2013. World J Urol (2016) 34:1193–1200 303 20) Aggarwal R, Goel U, Chaudhary U etal. Prevalance of MRSA as uropathogen in a 304 teaching Tertiary care hospital of North India. Int. J.Pharm. Med. & Bio.Sc. (2013) 2(2):18-22. 305 21) Manjunath GN, Prakash R, Vamseedhar Annam, Kiran Shetty. Changing trends in the 306 spectrum of antimicrobial drug resistance pattern of uropathogens isolated from hospitals 307 and community patients with urinary tract infections in Tumkur and Bangalore. Int J Biol Med 308 Res. 2011; 2(2): 504 - 507. 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320