Spectrum and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Uropathogens: Indoor versus outdoor isolates.

ABSTRACT

Background & objectives: The resistance of uropathogens to commonly prescribed antimicrobials is increasing globally. As the susceptibility of uropathogens varies according to place and time, the present study was undertaken to know the local epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns (AMSP) of common bacterial uropathogens. This helps in formulating effective empirical treatment.

Method: This is a prospective observational study, where a total of 3353 consecutive urine specimens over a period of one year in a tertiary care hospital were cultured by semiquantitative method. The pathogens isolated were identified by standard methods and their antimicrobial susceptibility was done by Kirby Bauer disk diffusion method as per CLSI guidelines. The data was analysed by using WHONET 5.6 software.

Results: Of the total 3353 samples, 63% were sterile, 24% showed significant growth,5.27% showed insignificant growth and 7.45% were collection contaminants. There were 988 bacterial isolates, 814 (82%) were Gram negative bacilli (GNB) and 174 (18%) were Gram positive cocci (GPC).

In our study there was low sensitivity of gram negative isolates to Ampicillin (OPD-7%,IPD - 6%),Cotrimoxazole (OPD- 30%,IPD-29%),fluroquinolones like norfloxacin (OPD-44%,IPD-31%) and cephalosporins like cefotaxime (OPD-22%,IPD-14%) and cefepime (OPD-39%, IPD-33%). Comparatively higher sensitivity was observed to nitrafurantoin (OPD-87%,IPD-65%),aminoglycosides like amikacin (OPD -72%,IPD-58%) and gentamicin (OPD-61%,IPD-53%),followed by Piperacillin tazobactum (OPD-72%,IPD-59%) and Meropenem (OPD-70%,IPD -52%).ESBL Production was observed amongst 40% of *Escherichia coli* and 60% of *Klebiella pneumoniae*.

Amongst gram positive isolates, there was low sensitivity to fluroquinolones like norofloxacin (OPD- 30%, IPD- 26%), ciprofloxacin (OPD- 30%, IPD-21%) and tetracyline (OPD- 52%, IPD- 62%). Higher sensitivity was observed to vancomycin(OPD-100%,IPD-98%),teicoplanin(OPD-100%,IPD-98%),linezolid (OPD and IPD -100%), Nitrafurantoin (OPD-87%,IPD-83%). In our study 36% of Staphylococcus aureus isolates from IPD were MRSA and vancomycin resistance in Enterococcus isolates from IPD was 2%.

Conclusion- Local epidemiology and susceptibility pattern of uropathogens should be studied to formulate effective empirical treatment regimen. Our study recommends use of Nitrafurantoin as best antimicrobial for UTI in uncomplicated, non-hospitalised patients. And use of aminoglycosides, or βlactam - βlactamase inhibitor combination agents like piperacillin/tazobactum and cefaperazone sulbactum in complicated and serious hospitalized patients.

1. INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTI) is one of the most common infections observed in clinical practice among community and hospitalized patients. Urinary tract infection often results in serious complications like secondary bacteremia and sepsis leading to a rise in mortality [1].

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the fourth most common type of healthcare-associated infection (HAUTI) [2]. Healthcare-associated urogenital tract infections (HAUTI) are some of the most-frequently occurring HAI. In a recent U.S.-wide multistate point-prevalence survey, 12.9% of all HAI were due to HAUTI [3,4]. In a European point prevalence survey conducted by the European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), HAUTI accounted for 19.0% of all HAI [3,5]. Virtually all healthcare-associated UTIs are caused by instrumentation of the urinary tract.

The community acquired Urinary tract infections are mainly uncomplicated, and are mainly caused by Escherichia coli as they are normal flora of human intestine and therefore easily colonise the urinary tract. Uncomplicated UTIs in healthy women have an incidence of 50/1000/year [6]. An estimated 50% of women experience at least one episode of UTI at some point in their lifetime and between 20% and 40% of women have recurrent episodes[7,8]. Approximately 20% of all UTIs occur in men [9].

The favourable chemical composition of human urine can support the growth of several different strains of bacteria. *E. coli* is the cause of 80–85% of urinary tract infections, with Enterococcus species being the other main cause. Other bacterial species that causes the UTI include *Klebsiella*, *Proteus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Enterobacter*. UTI may also be due to fungal or viral infections, although these are uncommon and typically related to abnormalities of the urinary system or urinary catheterization. Urinary tract infections due to *Staphylococcus aureus* typically occurs secondary to blood borne infections [10,11].

The introduction of antimicrobial therapy has contributed significantly to the management of UTIs, however the main problem with current antibiotic therapies is the rapid emergence of antimicrobial resistance in hospitals and the community due to rampart and indiscriminate use of antibiotics. This study was carried out to determine the prevalent uropathogens with their antimicrobial susceptibility pattern to commonly used antimicrobials in order to formulate an effective antibiotic policy for empirical treatment in our community and hospital setup. We also compared the antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the bacterial isolates between outpatients and inpatients. Formulation of effective empirical treatment gives appropriate treatment and inaddition helps preventing drug resistance by avoiding inappropriate and indiscriminate antibiotics usage.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS:

A prospective observational study was carried out in the Bacteriology laboratory of the department of Microbiology from Jan 2016 to December 2016. Urine samples were received from various outpatient Departments (OPDs) and Inpatient Departments (IPDs) of a tertiary care hospital. Clean catch, midstream urine samples were collected in sterile universal containers and immediately transported to laboratory and processed. The samples were plated on Cystine lactose electrolyte deficient (CLED) agar by the semiquantitative plating method using the calibrated loop technique (0.001 mL). Plates were incubated aerobically overnight at 37 ℃. Depending upon the number of the colonies grown on the CLED medium, the interpretations of urine culture were made as insignificant (<10 colonies corresponding to 10³ colony count), and significant (≥100 colonies corresponding to 10⁵ colony count) with due clinical correlation as per recommendations. Doubtful significance (>10 - <100 colonies corresponding to 10⁴-10⁵ colony count) were repeated and interpretated with clinical correlation [12,13].

Urine culture with not more than two species of organisms,and at least one of the bacterium having count of ≥10⁵CFU/ml in symptomatic and asymptomatic bacteramic UTI in both

catheterised and noncatheterised patients was considered significant and a criteria for diagnosis of UTI. Urine cultures with > 2 organisms were routinely regarded as contaminated cultures [2]. Conventional methods of identification were used for identification of the bacterial isolates [14]. Antimicrobial susceptibility test (AST) was done on Mueller Hinton agar (Himedia Labs Ltd) by the Kirby Bauer technique according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines 2016 [15]. Data was entered in WHONET 5.6 and was analysed to know significant difference between sensitivity of OPD and IPD isolates. Chi square test and fisher's exact test were used. Yate's correction was applied wherever necessary, P value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

 A total of 3353 consecutive urine samples were included in the study. Of these, 2114 (63%) were sterile, 812 (24%) showed significant growth, 177 (5.27%) showed insignificant growth and 250 (7.45%) were collection contaminants and were repeated after proper collection. The 812 samples with significant growth yielded 988 bacterial isolates with 814 (82%) Gram negative bacilli (GNB) and 174 (18%) Gram positive cocci (GPC).

The distribution of Gram positive isolates along with their antibiotic sensitivity pattern and percentage of Multidrug resistant strains in both OPD and IPD setup is given below in Table no. 1,2,3 respectively. Similarly distribution of gram negative isolates with their antibiotic sensitivity pattern in both OPD and IPD set up is given below in Table No. 4,5 respectively.

Table no.1: Distribution of Gram positive isolates in UTI (n=174)

Sr. No	Isolate	OPD (n=23) No %	IPD (n=151) No. %	Total (n= 174)
1	Enterococcus spp	18 (78.26%)	136 (90%)	154
2	Staphylococcus aureus	5 (21.74%)	11 (7%)	16
3	Coagulase negative staphylococci	-	4 (3%)	4

92 Table no 2: Antimicrobial sensitivity of Gram positive isolates (n=174)

Sr. No	Antibiotics	OPD (% of sensitivity) (n=23)	IPD (% of sensitivity) (n=151)	P value
		1 st Line drugs	/	
1	Penicillig G	05 (22%)	23(15%)	P value-0.42 (NS)
2	Norfloxacin	07(30%)	39(26 %)	P value-0. 64 (NS)
3	Nitrafurantoin	20 (87%)	125(83%)	P value-0.88 (NS)
4	Ciprofloxacin	07 (30 %)	32 (21 %)	P value-0.32 (NS)
5	Tetracycline	12 (52%)	94 (62 %)	P value-0.35 (NS)
		2 nd Line drugs		
6	Vancomycin	23(100%)	148 (98%)	P value-0.5(NS)
7	Teicoplanin	23(100%)	148 (98%)	P value-0.5(NS)
8	Linezolid	23 (100%)	151 (100%)	P value-1.00(NS)

Majority of UTI infections caused by Gram positive cocci were due to Enterococcus, followed by Staphylococcus aureus in both OPD and IPD patients.

Antimicrobial sensitivity of Gram positive isolates for all antibiotics among OPD and IPD showed similiar pattern and the difference was not stastically significant.

In our study there was low sensitivity of gram positive isolates to fluroquinolones like Norofloxacin (30% in OPD isolates and 26% in IPD), ciprofloxacin (30% in OPD and 21% in IPD isolates) and tetracyline (52% in OPD and 62% in IPD isolates). Gram positive isolates showed high sensitivity to teicoplanin (100%OPD and 98% IPD) and Linezolid (100% OPD and IPD) and nitrofurantoin (87% in OPD ,83% in IPD isolates. Antimicrobial sensitivity of Staphylococcus isolates to cotrimoxazole was 40%(2/5 isolates) in OPD and 87%(13 of 15 isolates) in IPD isolates and to gentamicin was 0% (0/5 isolates) in OPD and 87% (13 /15 isolates) in IPD isolates.

Table no.3: Percentage of Multi drug resistant Gram Positive isolates.

Sr. No.	Parameters		IPD (% of sensitivity)
1	Percentage of MRSA	0 (0/5)	36 % (4/11)
2	Percentage of HLAR Enterococcus	50 % (9/18)	<mark>58 % (78/135)</mark>
3	Percentage of VRE	0 (0/18)	2% (3/136)

Also urinary tract infections by multi drug resistant gram positive cocci is more in IPD patients as compared to OPD patients with infection by MRSA contributing to 36%, High Level Aminoglycoside Resistance (HLAR) and Vancomycin Resistance amongst Enterococcus (VRE) as 58% and 2% respectively. Emergence of Vancomycin resistance in Enterococcus is of alarming and great concern in IPD patients

Table no. 4: Distribution of Gram negative isolates in UTI (n=814)

Sr. No	Name of isolate	OPD (n=121) No. %	IPD (n= 693) No. %	Total (n=693)
1	Escherichia coli	60 (50%)	347(50%)	407
2	Klebsiella pneumoniae	20 (17%)	90(13%)	110
3	Enterobacter spp	20 (17%)	60 (9%)	80
4	Citrobacter spp	3 (2%)	30 (4%)	32
5	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	8 (7%)	89 (12%)	97
6	Acinetobacter spp	7 (6%)	40 (8%)	47
7	Other nonfermenter GNB	3 (2%)	20 (3%)	23
8	Proteus spp	-	17 (2%)	17
9	Total	121	693	814

Urinary tract infections is predominantly caused by Escherichia coli, followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae in both OPD and IPD patients. Trend of organism in both OPD and IPD patients is similiar, except for higher percentage of infections by Nonfermenters in IPD patients.

Sr. no.	Antibiotic	OPD (% of sensitivity) (n=121)	IPD (% of sensitivity) (n=693)	P value P<0.001 – Stastically significant
	1 st Line drugs			
1	Amikacin	87 (72%)	401 (58%)	P value =0.003 (HS)
2	Ampicillin	07 (7 %)	42 (6%)	P value =0.81 (NS)
	Nitrofurantoin	105 (87%)	453 (65%)	P value =0.0001 (VHS)
3	Tetracycline	63 (52%)	311 (45%)	P value =0.143 (NS)
4	Gentamicin	74 (61%)	367 (53%)	P value =0.09 (NS)
5	Norfloxacin	53 (44%)	214 (31%)	P value =0.005(S)
6	Cefotaxime	27(22%)	97 (14%)	P value =0.01 (NS)
7	Cotrimoxazole	36 (30%)	200 (29%)	P value =0.84 (NS)
	2 nd Line drugs			
8	Meropenem	85(70 %)	360 (52%)	P value =0.0001 (HS)
9	Cefoperazone sulbactum	83 (69%)	311 (45%)	P value =0.0001 (HS)
10	Piperacillin tazobactum	87 (72%)	408 (59%)	P value =0.006 (S)
11	Cefepime	47 (39%)	228 (33%)	P value =0.20(NS)
12	Aztreonam	47 (39%)	152 (22%)	P value=0.0006(HS)

On comparing antimicrobial sensitivity of Gram negative isolates from OPD and IPD setup, OPD isolates were more senitive and the difference is stastically significant for antimicrobials like amikacin, nitrofurantoin, norfloxacin, meropenem, cefaprazone- sulbactum, piperacillin tazobactum and aztreonam.

In our study there was low sensitivity of gram negative isolates to Ampicillin (7% in OPD and 6% in IPD patients) and Cotrimoxazole (30% in OPD and 29% in IPD patients) and fluroquinolones like Norfloxacin (44% in OPD and 31% in IPD Patients) and cephalosporins like cefotaxime (22% in OPD and 14% in IPD) and cefepime (39%in OPD and 33%in IPD). Comparatively higher sensitivity was observed to Nitrafurantoin (87%in OPD and 65% in IPD isolates), aminoglycosides like amikacin (OPD -72%, IPD – 58%) and gentamicin (OPD -61%, IPD – 53%), followed by Piperacillin tazobactum (OPD -72%, IPD – 59%) and Meropenem (OPD -70%, IPD – 52%). In our study 40 % of the *E. coli* isolates and 60% of *Klebsiella spp* were Extended spectrum β lactamase (ESBL) producers.

DISCUSSION

This study provides valuable data to compare and monitor the status of antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens to improve efficient empirical treatment. Increasing antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens has been documented globally. In our study,

24% of isolates showed significant bacteriuria, which is comparable to other Indian studies like Mandal etal [16] and Lakshmi etal [1] showing significant bacteruria as 26.01% and 23.85% respectively.

In our study amongst the gram negative bacteria, Escherichia coli is the predominant pathogen followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae and other enterobacteriaciae. This is in consistence with findings of other studies in which E.coli and other enterobacteriaciae were the most frequently reported uropathogens [1,10,11,17,18]. Global Prevalence of infections in urology, web-based multinational, multicentre point study carried in 70 countries showed similar trend of organisms with predominance of Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, followed by other enterobacteriaciae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa amongst gram negative bacilli and Enterococcus followed by Staphylococcus aureus amongst gram positive cocci [19]. Enterobacteriaceae have several factors responsible for their attachment to the uroepithelium. These gram negative aerobic bacteria colonize the urogenital mucosa with adhesion, pili, fimbriae, and P1blood group phenotype receptor [17]. In our study, Enterobacteriaceae bacteria accounted for 65.38% of all the isolates (646/988 isolates). Our study reveals 40 % of the E. coli isolates and 60% of Klebsiella spp were ESBL producers. Aggarwal et al. reported 40% of E. coli and 54.54% of Klebsiella species from uropathogens to be ESBL producers from Rohtak, Harvana [18]. In another study from Rajasthan, Dalela etal reported 73% of Ecoli and 59% of Klebsiella species from uropathogens to be ESBL Producers [11]. This geographical difference may be due to different patterns of antibiotic usage. Our study confirms the global trend towards increased resistance to β lactam antibiotics. ESBL producing bacteria may not be detectable by routine disk diffusion susceptibility test, leading to inappropriate use of antibiotics and treatment failure. It is emphasized that institutions should employ appropriate tests for their detection and avoid indiscriminate use of third generation cephalosporins.

Methicillin resistance was found in 36% of the *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from IPD. Dalela etal reported overall prevalence of MRSA in uropathogens as 42.4% [11]. Aggarwal et al also reported prevalance of MRSA in uropathogens as 36.84% [20]. Emergence of 2% VRE in IPD set-up is alarming and emphasizes importance of infection control measures to control its spread and transfer of vancomycin resistance to Staphylococci. Mandall et al has reported 3.2% VRE in uropathogens [16].

In our study there is low sensitivity of gram negative isolates to oral antimicrobials like Ampicillin (7% in OPD and 6% in IPD patients) and Cotrimoxazole (30% in OPD and 29% in IPD patients). Similarly gram positive isolates from OPD setup show only 40% sensitivity to cotrimoxazole. These findings are in consistence with the recent data reported from other developing and developed countries. [1,11,16,21]. The high antibiotic resistance against ampicillin and cotrimoxazole could be attributed to their wide usage for a variety of other indications and is a matter of concern and their use as empirical treatment should be stopped.

Fluoroquinolones have a wide variety of indications, they permeate most body compartments, and are ubiquitously prescribed, accounting for the emergence of their resistance. In our study amongst gram negative bacteria only 44% OPD isolates and 31% IPD isolates were sensitive to Norfloxacin. Similarily amongst gram positive cocci, only 29 % OPD isolates and 26% IPD isolates were sensitive to Norfloxacin. Also ciprofloxacin resistance in Gram positive cocci is 27% in OPD and 21% in IPD patients. This increasing resistance to fluroquinolones is also documented in other studies [1,16,21]. Our findings indicate that urgent strategies to counteract increased resistance to these drugs must be developed or their use in uncomplicated infections should be strictly curtailed.

Global Prevalence of infections in urology, web-based multinational, multicentre point study carried in 70 countries across 4 continents Asia, Africa, Europe and America showed low sensitivity to Cotrimoxazole, cephalosporins and fluroquinlones [19].

197 198 199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

195

196

In the present study a good sensitivity to Nitrofurantoin amongst Gram positive isolates (OPD - 86% and IPD 83%) and Gram negative isolates (87% in OPD and 65% in IPD patients) was observed. Our findings are similar to other Indian studies which have also demonstrated nitrofurantoin as an appropriate agent for firstline treatment of community acquired UTIs [1,16,21]. Given the fact that Nitrofurantoin has no role in the treatment of other infections, it can be administered orally and is highly concentrated in urine; it may therefore be the most appropriate agent for empirical use in uncomplicated UTI.

Aminoglycosides being injectables are used restrictively in the community care setting and hence have shown better sensitivity rates. Gram negative isolates from OPD had sensitivity of 72% and 61% to amikacin and gentamicin respectively. Staphylococcus isolates from OPD setup also showed 100% sensitivity to gentamicin.

As per Global Prevalence of Infections in Urology worldwide surveillance study resistance rates of all antibiotics tested other than carbapenems against the total bacterial spectrum were higher than 10% in all regions. The resistance rates of most of the uropathogens against the antibiotics tested did not show significant trends of increase or decrease, but were high already in the beginning years. Resistance to almost all pathogens was lowest in North Europe and highest in Asia [2].

215 216 217

218

219

220

So recommendations based on findings of our study in our set up are for uncomplicated nonhospitalised patients nitrofurantoin is the best antimicrobial. For complicated Urinary tract infections or serious hospitalized patients aminoglycosides, or β lactam-β lactamase inhibitor combination agents like piperacillin/tazobactum and cefaperazone sulbactum can be effective. Carbapenems should be reserved for very serious hospital acquired infections.

221 222 223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

CONCLUSION

Among the oral drugs norfloxacin, tetracyline and co-trimoxazole should no longer be considered as the first line drugs for the empirical treatment of UTI. Nitrofurantoin can be safely used for un-complicated UTI. Parentral drugs such as aminoglycosides, and Beta lactum and beta lactum inhibitor combination agents like piperacillin/tazobactum, cefaperazone-sulbactum can be the alternative choice for complicated UTI. Carbapenems should be reserved for very serious life threatening infections. Escalation or descaltation of antibiotics should be done as per sensitivity pattern. Also, control measures which include the judicious use of antibiotics, antibiotic cycling, the implementation of appropriate infection control measures and the formulation of an antibiotic policy must be done, to prevent the spread of these MDR strains. It is essential to test and report ESBLs, Vancomycin resistance in entrococcus and MRSA production along with the routine susceptibility testing, which will help the clinicians in prescribing proper antibiotics.

235 236 237

COMPETING INTERESTS - NIL ACKNOWLEDGMENT –

238 239 240

243

244

245

246 247

REFERENCES:

- 1) Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Uropathogens Isolated in a Rural Teaching Hospital in South India.Lakshmi PV, Leela KS. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2015) 4(6): 160-167.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017). NHSN Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection Surveillance in 2017. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/scalise March22
- 3) The Global Prevalence of Infections in Urology Study: A Long-Term, Worldwide

Surveillance Study on Urological Infections. Wagenlehner F, Tandogdu Z, Bartoletti R, Cai T, etal. Pathogens 2016, 5, 10; doi:10.3390/pathogens 5010010;

250

251

252253

254

255

256

257

258

259 260

261 262

263

284 285

286

- 4) Multistate point-prevalence survey of health care-associated infections. Magill S.S.; Edwards, J.R.; Bamberg, W.; Beldavs, Z.G.; et al. N. Engl. J. Med. **2014**, 370, 1198–1208.
 - 5) European Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Point Prevalence Survey of Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Use in European Acute Care Hospitals, 2011–2012; European Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Stockholm, Sweden, 2013.
- 6) Evolution of bacterial susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* in uncomplicated urinary tract infections in a country with high antibiotic consumption: A comparison of two surveys with a 10year interval. De Backer D, Christiaens T, Heytens S, De Sutter A etal. G J Antimicrob Chemother 2008;62:3648.
- 7) Ten years surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility of community acquired *Escherichia coli* and other uropathogens in Northern Israel. Rock W, Colodner R, Chazan B, Elias M,etal. Israel Med Assoc J 2007;9:8035.
- 264 8) Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of community acquired urinary tract infection isolates from female patients on the US (Texas) Mexico Border. Vasquez Y, Hand WL. J Appl Res 2004;4:3216.
- 9) Griebling TL. Urinary tract infection in men. In: Litwin MS, Saigal CS, editors. Urologic
 Diseases in America. DHHS, PHS, NIH, NIDDK. Washington, DC: GPO;2007. NIH
 publication 075512.
- 270 10) Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Bacteria among Urinary Tract Infection Patients in
 271 Chittagong, Bangladesh.Chowdhury S, Parial R.SMU Medical Journal. (2015) 2(1): 114-126.
- 11) Antibiotic Resistance Pattern in Uropathogens at a Tertiary Care Hospital at Jhalawar
 with Special Reference to Esbl, AmpC b-Lactamase and MRSA Production. Dalela G, Gupta
 S, Jain DK, Mehta P. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2012 May (Suppl-2), Vol-6(4): 645-651.
- 12) Collee JG, Duguid JP, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A. Laboratory strategy in the
 diagnosis of infective syndromes. In: Collee JG, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A,
 editors. *Mackie & McCartney practical medical microbiology*, 14th ed. New York: Churchill
 Livingstone; 1999. p. 84-90.
- 13) James HJ, John DT. Susceptibility Test Methods: Dilution and Disk Diffusion methods.
 In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorensen JH, Landry ML, Michael AP, editors. *Manual of clinical microbiology*, 10th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Society for Microbiology Press; 2007. p.
 1152-72.
 - 14) Guidelines for the Collection, Transport, Processing, Analysis and reporting of cultures from specific specimen sources. In: Koneman EW, Allen SD, Janda WM, Schreckenberger PC, Winn WC. Color atlas and textbook of diagnostic microbiology. 6 th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott; 2006. p. 82-86.
- 289 15) CLSI Guidelines 2017. M100 S-27th edition. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute Performance standards for Antimicrobial Suseptibility testing:1-148.
- 291 16.) Antibiotic resistance pattern among common bacterial uropathogens with a special
 292 reference to ciprofloxacinresistant *Escherichia coli*. Mandal J, Acharya NS, Buddhapriya D &
 293 Parija SC.Indian J Med Res 136, November 2012 :842-849
- 294 17) Antibiotic resistance pattern of community acquired uropathogens at a tertiary care hospital in Jaipur, Rajasthan. Sood S, Gupta R.Indian journal of Community medicine. 2012 37 (1): 39-44
- 297 18) Detection of Extended spectrum b lactamase production among uropathogens. Aggarwal R. Chaudhary U. Sikka R.J Lab Physicians 2009 Jan-jun; 1(1): 7-10.

19) Antimicrobial resistance in urosepsis:outcomes from the multinational, multicenter global prevalence of infections in urology (GPIU) study 2003-2013. Zafer Tandog du , Ricardo Bartoletti , Tomasso Cai etal World J Urol (2016) 34:1193-1200 20) Prevalance of MRSA as uropathogen in a teaching Tertiary care hospital of North India.Aggarwal R, Goel U, Chaudhary U et al. Int. J. Pharm. Med. & Bio. Sc. (2013) 2(2):18-22. 21) Changing trends in the spectrum of antimicrobial drug resistance pattern of uropathogens isolated from hospitals and community patients with urinary tract infections in Tumkur and Bangalore.Manjunath GN, Prakash R, Vamseedhar Annam, Kiran Shetty Int J Biol Med Res. 2011; 2(2): 504 - 507