



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_35402
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of antihelminthic efficacy of mebendazole 500mg against soil- transmitted helminths in a high risk area of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-----	
Minor REVISION comments	Please correct some grammatical mistakes: - Page 1. Please write <i>Ancylostoma</i>, not <i>Ankylostoma</i>. The same in all the manuscript. - Page 4, line75 The results obtained... - Discussion. Page 12. Line 271. The present study is one of the recent studies.... - Page 14. Line 305. ...the low efficacy observed in both drugs.... - Page 14. Line 310. These results however...	
Optional/General comments	The study is not new but it fills an important knowledge gap. It sheds a bit of light on a yet unsolved problem that affects a lot of people. The data collection and analyses of data are appropriate, and support the conclusions. Connections to prior work in the field are made and help to make the arguments more clear. The arguments are logical and not contradictory.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Claudia Irene Menghi
Department, University & Country	Clinical Parasitology, Microbiology Division, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina