SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_34859**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PREVALENCE, PARENTAL PERCEPTION AND FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PRACTICE OF DEWORMING SCHOOL-AGED CHILDREN IN NORTH-EAST NIGERIA.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Title: Delete prevalence as the study is not a prevalence study.** **Abstract: Lines 9 & 12 Make study design and Methods bold.** **Lines 17-20: The statement is not clear so rephrase.** **Introduction: You did not introduce what you set to achieve in the study i.e the aims and objectives were not stated in concluding paragraph of the introduction.** **Materials and Methods: Line 84 The sentence should read Bauchi is demarcated on Latitude-----** **Lines 101-102: Indicate the attrition in percentage e.g 5%, 10% e.t.c not just absolute value from 502 to 598.** **Ethical considerations: Indicate if you receive assent from the children and also consent from participants ≥18years** **Result: You have been using agriculture and farming interchangeably. Stick to one.** **Tables 2 & 5: the figures for manufacturing group do not tally. In one is 18 and the other 15.** **Preferably you should only use table 5 and delete table 2.** **The figure of children who were dewormed do not**	

	tally with the one in line 146. **In Table 4, put the percentage of the Total also.** **Lines 175-176: the statement is not clear, Rephrase.** **Table 5: Line 2 Rephrase- Guardian who have ever dewormed other children.** **Lines 197-199: Rephrase- Male children are 3 times more likely to be dewormed than their female counterpart.** **Discussion: First paragraph- State why the differences of deworming practices in different parts of the world to your study.** **Lines 227-228 and 238-239: These statements look more of recommendations.** **References; No 15 was not correctly cited.** **Ethical considerations: Indicate if you receive assent from the children and also consent from participants ≥18years**	
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Esther S. Yiltok***
Department, University & Country	***Paediatrics, University of Jos, Nigeria***