**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_IJTDH_29181** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Ectopic pregnancy: recent experience in a tertiary hospital, South-southern Nigeria.** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | | |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | In this article, the authors treat an important topic of gynecology. This is a cohort study of 2 years in Nigeria. The methodology is correct in its entirety.<br>Moreover, some observations are presented to authors for improving the manuscript.<br><br>**1- In the introduction of level:**<br><br>* I think that it would be interesting to present some prevalence in Nigeria on maternal and child health. Also it is interesting to add one or two sentences about the prenatal monitoring system in the country.<br><br>* I also think that authors need to further clarify the choice of the region where the study was conducted and its representation in relation to the population of women of childbearing age in Nigeria.<br>**2- At the Methodology and Results:**<br><br>* You must present the statistical software that was used for data analysis.<br>* Authors should add information about the status of the investigation team.<br>* In the results, the investigators speak about risk factors (Table IV). I think it would be interesting to calculate the OR.<br><br>**3- In terms of references** | |

**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | The majority of references date back more than 5 years and some are even very old.<br><br>I Think That If The Authors Take Account Of Notes Above Mentioned, The Article Could Be Improved And Thus Could Be Accepted For A Possible Publication. | |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | **Fethi Ben Slama** |
| Department, University & Country | **Department of Nutrition- National Institute of Public Health, National Institute of Public Health, Tunis, Tunisia &**<br>**Laboratory of Monitoring and Nutritional Epidemiology in Tunisia, National Institute of Nutrition, Tunis, Tunisia** |