



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_37426
Title of the Manuscript:	STAT 3 mutations, Giardia infection and the IL-17 pathway
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I could not identify what is the meaning of this paper. If this is a review, and it may not be presented as a "Short communication". And what is the communication that the authors want to do? He only presented the content of some papers, and missing references of the most data presented. Much information is missing to characterize the paper as a field research. There is no patients, no lab examinations, no ethical protocol.	Thank you for your review and comments. There is a current lack of literature in this particular area. The identification of patients with <i>Giardia spp</i> , who have an underlying STAT 3 mutation is the focus of this review. The literature was also reviewed for other primary immune defects which may be associated. This is not included in the Discussion and additional references are added. The paper is intended to highlight this problem to the readership.
Minor REVISION comments	If publishers accept this paper for publication, a little revision is required, and details are marked in the text.	Thank you for your review.
Optional/General comments	Author improves the bibliographic review, it will be possible to approve the manuscript as a review article.	Thank you for your review, additional citations and Discussion have been added.