



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_37416
Title of the Manuscript:	Actinomyces-like organisms on intrauterine devices from asymptomatic users
Type of the Article	Letter to the Editor

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.sentence formation is not good 2. Study design must be clearly mentioned. (inclusion and exclusion criteria, age consideration, duration of IUD use, history of PID etc.) 3. Kindly elaborate methodology with references. 4. explain line no-18.(previous analysis..) 5. provide details of sample (IUD or cerviovaginal smear). 6. Details of duration of device used and isolated organism is not provided. 7. Results should be summarized in self-explanatory manner. 8. Important aspects of study should be explained in expanded way. 9.Mention objective of study. 10.kindly explain study is about about colonization or infection rate.	Thank you for the comments. 1. We corrected sentence formation. 2. Study design. A microbiological study was conducted in 96 asymptomatic Mexican IUD patients, from July to December 2015. We included all the patients in the study period. No history of PID was reported in any patient. Fifty six devices (58.4%) had a time of more than 5 years of use (range: 1 month - 32 years, average 7.5 years). 3. We disagree to include references in methodology. 4. We change the word “previous” with “this”. 5. Details added. 6. Fifty six devices (58.4%) had a time of more than 5 years of use (range: 1 month - 32 years, average 7.5 years). Isolated organisms are mentioned in table 1. 7. We check the results. 8. We agree with this point, however, in a letter to Editor we need to be very concise. 9. The aim of the study is to determine the prevalence of <i>Actinomyces</i> sp on the devices in asymptomatic women. 10. Our study is about colonization. No infection was evident at the time of the study.
Minor REVISION comments	1.Several Language issues should be corrected	1. We correct errors in the English writing.
Optional/General comments		