



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_37109
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Molecular Characterization of Shiga-toxigenic Escherichia coli in Piglets of North East Region of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		Suggestions made by Reviewers were highly commendable and upto the point. All the comments and suggestions by the reviewers have been incorporated in the article as revised.
Minor REVISION comments	Results section Line 126: O8, O156 were found to be the most prevalent serotype (3/30; 10.0%) and not O1, O8, O156 were found to be the most prevalent serotype (3/30; 10.0%) Discussion section Line 143: In the present study, <i>stx2</i> gene consist of with 93.33% and not 96.55% Conclusion section Line 213: cefalexin and amoxycillin has highest resistance and not amoxycillin has highest resistance References section - Check references 26,27 - Put dot after the pages range in reference 11 - put small dash between the pages range in reference 30	Results section: 1. Along with O08 and O156 with 10% prevalent; serotype O1 was also 10% prevalent (1 <i>stx2</i> gene and 2 <i>hly</i> gene), since both the genes belongs to STEC group. 2. 93.33% have been added Instead of 96.55% 3. cefalexin and amoxycillin has highest resistance have been added accordingly in the conclusion References section - Reference 27 have been removed - dot after the pages in reference 11 and a small dash between the pages range in reference 30 have been corrected.
Optional/General comments		