

Editor's Comment & Author's Feedback:

I think the author has done all the requirements regarding the statistical analysis but I have some points to issue

1. I am not satisfied with the way that he was reporting the results of Chi square underneath tables 9 and 10. The format of the result reporting should be like this [[" the difference was statistically insignificant, $\chi^2(2, N = \text{----}) = \text{-----}$, $p = \text{----}$]].

Changes done as required.

2- The author needs to look at the references style and make them in a uniform style according to the rules and regulations of the references listing. Some of the journal names were abbreviated while the others were written in their full name as reference number 11.

Changes done. All references written in uniform style.

3- The author needs to be more specific about the statistical significance of the results in the section between lines 211 and 217.

Changes done as suggested

4- What is transaminitis in line 198. This term is so weird.

Transaminitis is the presence of elevated transaminases i.e., alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST). It has been explained in line 165/166

5- Scientific names of the pathogens should be italicized as *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium falciparum* in line 189.

Done

6- There are grammar mistakes and inappropriateness in different parts as in line 232, the {{ it becomes difficult to predict}} should be changed to it is difficult to predict. **or table 9 show not shows.**

Checked and done all over the text.

7- The result section was reported as a list of figures and tables legend. I think the author needs to explain the results in a different way.

It being an observational study, the results involve data, tabulation, and interpretation. We have made some changes.