



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_36883
Title of the Manuscript:	Capillary Leak Syndrome in Hospitalized cases of Dengue Fever - Is it really a marker of severity?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In general, this is a good paper as Dengue is classified as NTD. However, the authors have not provided enough information from other studies in the Introduction part of the paper. It is as if there was no adequate literature search for the paper. I expect the paper to provide global, national and local statistics for Dengue but instead they gave text-book description of the pathology. Moreover, the abstract is too long and the word count may exceed the journal's specification. Further, there are so many typographical errors and wrong syntaxes which I've tried to correct, as highlighted in yellow and with corrections in sticky notes. The authors may not tabulate conclusion and should put study limitations before conclusion section of the paper. Finally, the authors should go over the references and correct how these are written	Various other studies have been included and new 10 references have been added. Since we were only discussing the issue of CLS we did not focus on dengue fever, in general. The abstract has been cut short. The major part of introduction has been rewritten including reviewers comment. Typographical mistakes have been looked into and corrected. (Many of the errors were due to alignment tab-Justify- and we have aligned the text to left margin). The tabulation of conclusion is removed. Study limitations have been put before conclusion. References have been corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Averagely nice paper that may be published after above revision. Dengue Fever is of global importance.	Thanks to reviewer for such an indepth review.