



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_36788
Title of the Manuscript:	MALE PATTERN INFERTILITY IN A REFERRAL HOSPITAL, SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The serious mistake of this manuscript is that the abstract does not match the manuscript! 2. The subjects recruited in this study was not described: what are the exclusion criteria e.g. illness, lifestyle e.g. smoking; job have contact with toxic materials etc. 3. Were the subjects come from infertility clinic? 4. Method for collection of sperm was not described. Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? Studies involving human sperm usually require ethical approval.	We apologize for this, it is unclear how it happened, probably a mix-up as that is the abstract from a previously published article in the same journal. The appropriate one has been re-submitted. Thank you. Subjects were not recruited specifically for the study. This was a retrospective review of seminal fluid analysis carried out in the institution (laboratory-based retrospective study) The majority of subjects were however, referred due to infertility Effected Not applicable. Study was a retrospective review
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		