



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                        |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJTDH_36788                                                         |
| Title of the Manuscript: | MALE PATTERN INFERTILITY IN A REFERRAL HOSPITAL, SOUTHERN NIGERIA      |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                              |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                             |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>i) I suggest that, the whole abstract should be reframed. It does not go in line with the main methodology stated in the body of the manuscript.</p> <p>ii) The methodology in the abstract is not the same as in the body of the manuscript neither the result reported.</p> | We apologize for this, it is unclear how it happened, it is probably a mix-up as that is the abstract from a previously published article in the same journal. The appropriate one has been re-submitted. |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <p>iii) In line 70, it is stated that the study is a desk review of semen analysis carried out..., on this note, this manuscript should be A REVIEW ARTICLE not AN ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE.</p>                                                                                | Thank you,<br>The manuscript does not fit the criteria for a review article (please see authors' guide). It is a retrospective review of results of SFA obtained over a period of time.                   |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | I suggest that, the authors should improve or reframe the title of this article.                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Authors consider the topic appropriate. Kindly suggest changes if really necessary                                                                                                                        |