



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_36788
Title of the Manuscript:	MALE PATTERN INFERTILITY IN A REFERRAL HOSPITAL, SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-The abstract is completely wrong, referring to another manuscript. -Introduction: very poor background about male infertility, not describing also idiopathic infertility. The aim of the manuscript is not clear. -Methods. The only analysis done is spermiogram related evaluation. Not well defined the so called "abnormalities". -Results and discussion: authors classified volunteers on the basis of their age by evaluating spermiogram values. However, due to the high degree of intra-sample variation of all the parameters analysed for each volunteer, including cell count and motility, it is hard to draw any conclusion or relation between sperm values and age.	Thank you for your observations. We apologize for this, it is unclear how it happened, probably a mix-up as that is the abstract from a previously published article in the same journal. The correct abstract has been re-submitted please, thank you. Other concerns have been addressed This is the focus of the manuscript. There were varying abnormalities observed over the period under review however, it was not our objective to elaborate on these in this article. That would also make the manuscript cumbersome. It can be reported subsequently. Thank you
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		