



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_36637
Title of the Manuscript:	Renal Function Abnormalities in HIV-infected Children and Adolescents on antiretroviral Therapy at the University of Abuja Teaching Hospital, Gwagwalada, Nigeria: A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: problem of <i>subject-verb agreement</i> in the "<i>methodology</i>" section of abstract. MATERIAL AND METHODS is OK! Please keep aside the alternatives; they are not necessary. RECRUITMENT PROCEDURE: authors added that children of 7 years and above gave written consent. Is it <i>ethical</i> to deal with a 7 year-old child in such studies without passing through guardians???? I think this is still a tender age for a child to give a written consent if not being coerced into participating. Please there is need for this section to be clarified.	- The grammatical errors and sentences was rearranged as highlighted in the blue shade. - Was not able to see the alternatives. - Verbal assent was obtained from children above 7 years and this was corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Authors should go through entire manuscript for some minor grammatical errors noticed.	Minor grammatical errors corrected and highlighted with blue shade
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think authors did a great job that may contribute to the advancement of science as well as helping policy makers in the adoption of more-effective strategies in patient care.	Thank you.