



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJTDH_36611</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Spectrum and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Uropathogens: Indoor versus outdoor isolates</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Poor sentence formation (highlighted in manuscript file).</li> <li>2. Study design (highlighted yellow in ABSTRACT) must be clearly mentioned.</li> <li>3. In section- Material &amp; method-Kindly provide reference.</li> <li>4. References should be mentioned in Vancouver format.</li> <li>5. In methodology 3352 samples were included in study instead of 3353 mentioned in results(highlighted in in Abstract)</li> <li>6. Abbreviations can be used in manuscript provided their full form is mentioned in the text where they are first used.AS CLSI/ESBL/BL-BLI(Highlighted in manuscript file)</li> <li>7. Conclusion (In Abstract) need to be elaborated.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Done wherever required.</li> <li>2) Prospective observational study mentioned in abstract.</li> <li>3) Extra references quoted in Material and method.</li> <li>4) References are quoted in Vancouver format.</li> <li>5) Sample size of 3353 mentioned everywhere in manuscript.</li> <li>6) Full form of abbreviations are mentioned in manuscript wherever they have appeared first. Corrections made for CLSI, BL-BLI (βlactam - βlactamase inhibitor combination), ESBL.</li> <li>7) Conclusion in abstract elaborated.</li> </ol>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Several Language issues should be corrected (Highlighted in yellow).</li> <li>2. Important aspects of study should be explained in expanded way.</li> <li>3. Isolation of Staphylococcus aureus from urine sample is often secondary to bacteraemia prolonged indwelling device. Kindly explain any such correlation, if any.</li> <li>4. Discuss inclusion and exclusion criteria, if any.</li> <li>5. Results mentioned under abstract should be summarized in self explanatory manner.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Corrections done wherever mentioned.</li> <li>2) Important aspects of study Elaborated.</li> <li>3) Could not correlate isolation of Staphylococcus aureus isolation secondary to bacteraemia prolonged indwelling device in all patients.</li> <li>4) No inclusion and exclusion criteria mentioned as all urine sample received in bacteriology laboratory were cultured. Foleys catheter tips and urine collected in inappropriate containers were rejected.</li> <li>5) Results in abstract summarized.</li> </ol>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		