



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_36282
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating toxicity profile of garlic (Allium sativum) on the liver, kidney and heart using Wistar rat model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• L 29 – Please revise the sentence. • “was discovered through extensive researches “ – I think medicinal values of plants were known from ancient times. However, uses were scientifically proven by research. Pl. revise the sentence. • There are lots of grammatical mistakes, which should be addressed • “Garlic is also widely believed to be useful for reducing abnormal cholesterol and more recently blood pressure levels”- this could be revised as “Gallic is used widely to reduce cholesterol levels and blood pressure. • During which period the plant was collected? Better to include that. • How did authors select the dose levels? • Did authors use a positive control? • Why did authors restricted only to WBC and PVC in hematological parameters assessment? • Authors conducted the lipid profile but I cannot see any results. • L 193 – “keeping” replace the word • I think in the discussion is not necessary to have significant levels and referring to figure. They should be in the results section.	The sentence has been revised. See L-29. Grammatical mistakes had been addressed. Correction already effected. The sentence was also revised. Included. See Point 2.2. Dose levels were selected using the procedure of Bernas et al., 2004. Point 2.4 Yes. Our slides were interpreted in comparison with in-house control slides showing histologic changes in selected target organs. This is because previous studies have shown that both WBC and PCV parameters change due to garlic administration, hence we sought to see if our study agrees or contradicts these reports. See Table 5 on Serum Lipid levels of Wistar rats. These findings agree... L 193 Significant levels have been removed from discussion.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		