



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_36084
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF TRICHOMONS VAGINALIS INFECTION AMONG FEMALE INTERNALLY DISPLACED PERSONS IN MAIDUGURI, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	References must be re-written to match the style of the journal. The style is not Harvard style. Authors see style on web site: http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors References are numbered in the text (not to be in alphabetical order). ABSTRACT is not in style of this journal. See guidelines to authors above. IDP should be defined in the text on its first usage (even though it is in the title). I believe the following should be omitted from the text because it appears unsubstantiated and anecdotal remarks from the authors(lines 182-185): The anatomical structure of women makes them more vulnerable to factors such as lack of proper parental guidance and hard economic situation. The low infection rates among women aged greater than 40 years may probably be related to the development of acquired immunity to infection with increase in age. (What is reference for this???) Prevalence Review the grammar for the text.	Thank you for comments, I have made the necessary corrections as highlighted.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The tables are well prepared and useful. The study presents useful information and would be helpful to clinicians. After Compulsory revisions are accomplished above, the paper should be acceptable for publication.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>