



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_35907
Title of the Manuscript:	Tinea Capitis in Patients Attending Zliten Teaching Hospital (North West of Libya)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Indicate the clinical types considered in the methodology	Clinical types is now indicated in Methodology.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Show the total sum at the end of table 1	Total sum is added in the table.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- Hypothesis in the discussion why the noninflammatory type was the most common in this population - Hypothesis in the discussion because 15% had negative culture if they were considered as tinea capitis in the clinical diagnosis	In diagnosis of tinea capitis many things are considered KOH and culture of results upon typical clinical picture and also the response to systemic antifungal