



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_35846
Title of the Manuscript:	PACKAGED DRINKING WATER, QUALITY REGULATIONS, AND PUBLIC HEALTH: EXPLORING POTABILITY AND SAFETY GAP IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript needs minor revision before it is suitable for publication in International Journal of Tropical Diseases and Health. Abstract: Rather than as a single, continuous paragraph, this section should be presented in structured format with the subheadings: Aim; Place and Duration of Study; Study Design; Methodology; Results; Conclusion. The wrongly spelt words “portable” and “portability” should be replaced by the correct words “potable” and “potability”, respectively, wherever incorrectly used in manuscript. Results: Table titles should reflect the standard/specification status of the values given for the various quality parameters. References: On Page 9, Line 304: Italicise “<i>Salmonella enteric</i>” Some references have been repetitively presented on list of references: Akinyemi KO, Iwalokun BA, Foli F, Oshodi K, Coker AO. Prevalence of multidrug resistance Olaoye OA, Onilude AA. Assessment of microbiological quality of sachet-packaged drinking water in Western Nigeria Against the backdrop of the fact that the manuscript is a review paper, it needs to be revised to be broader in the review of articles in the subject area. There abound in literature articles reporting results of studies on the subject of packaged drinking water quality in Nigeria. There should be a more widely review of such articles. Examples of these include: 1. Mgbakor et al. Bacteriological evaluation of some sachet water on sales in Owerri metropolis, Imo State, Nigeria. Malaysian J Microbiol. 2011; 7(4):217-225. 2. Oyedeji et al. Microbiological quality of packaged drinking water brands marketed in Ibadan metropolis and Ile-Ife city in South western Nigeria. Afr J Microbiol Res. 2010; 4(1):96-102.	1. The reviewer was very right about the abstract, it was an oversight. The abstract has now been written as directed 2. The wrongly spelt words have been corrected ie “portable” to “potable” 3. Table titles has been updated to reflect the standard/specification status of the values given for the various quality parameters 4. <i>Salmonella enteric</i> has been dully italicized 5. The repeated reference has been corrected 6. Many articles abound on this subject. We used the ones that have been able to address the context of our objective while maintaining the limits of our discussion. We do not intend to over burden the article with so much references considering that these ones have clarified the context. However, we have extended our discussion to incorporate the reviewer's suggestions with additional references.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	3. Ugochukwu et al. Bacteriological evaluation of sampled sachet water sold in Smaru-Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria. Nig J Basic Clin Sci. 2015; 12:6-12. 4. Oludairo OO , Aiyedun JO. Contamination of commercially packaged sachet water and the public health implications: An overview. Bangladesh J Vet Med. 2016;13(2).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		