



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_35728
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and practices related to food hygiene among food handlers in Sokoto, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is interesting, the knowledge and practices related to food hygiene among food handlers is very important to public health. Several times, in many countries, the government agencies require courses of good food handling practices and supervise food companies in an attempt to control the transmission of foodborne diseases, but it is common to observe that food handlers often fail to follow procedures properly, through either ignorance or resistance to change their habits. Papers like this can show the danger of these habits and alert government agencies and the population itself. Therefore, all the information may be used for the benefit of the population. However, this paper needs to be revised. The authors should make some adjustments, as proposed in the "General Guideline for Authors" available on the page: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors): “Tables & Figures Tables & figures should be placed inside the text. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text.” Abstract and introduction: Perhaps it is better to substitute “uneducated” by low/poor knowledge or schooling In the subtitle “2.4 Data Collection”, Line 138: I suggest that the authors add "the questionnaire used is available in Material Supplement 1" and add at the end of the paper.	The SCIENCE DOMAIN template that was downloaded was used in preparing the manuscript Done (highlighted in red color in the manuscript). Done (included as Appendix 1)
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		The authors would like to thank the reviewers for the objective review of the manuscript and the contributions made to improve its quality.