



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_35669
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study of Gastrointestinal Parasites among Secondary School Students in the Rural and Urban Communities of Makurdi Local Government Area of Benue State
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Methodology is not properly done. How was the wet preparation performed? How was sedimentation method performed? You cannot cover this using technique as described by [1]. This is laboratory based research and your techniques must be explained so that other can follow. 2. The results were not accurate; the researcher showed Hookworm prevalence was 25 (19.7%) and <i>Ancylostoma duodenale</i> 3.1%. While Hookworm is a combination of <i>Ancylostoma duodenale</i> and <i>Nectar americanus</i>. 3. Other observed cor.rections made on the manuscript should be made	This has been indicated in the main work. 2. this also have been harmonised 3 other observations have been corrected
Minor REVISION comments	References are mixture and the second part did not follow this Journal standard	Journal standard has been properly followed
Optional/General comments		