



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                                                                             |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>                                                                                                      |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJTDH_35669</b>                                                                                                                                                       |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>A Comparative Study of Gastrointestinal Parasites among Secondary School Students in the Rural and Urban Communities of Makurdi Local Government Area of Benue State</b> |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                                                                                                             |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)





SDI Review Form 1.6

|                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|                                  | <b>24.</b> Conclusions instead of Recommendations.a<br><b>25.</b> References according to journal specifications.<br><b>26.</b> Abstract has to be better organized.<br><br><b>Please clarify the ethical issue if any.<br/>Nothing is mentioned regarding attending<br/>parasitized participants. What was done?</b> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |