



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_35502
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PERCEIVED BODY WEIGHT AND BODY MASS INDEX AMONG HEALTH CARE WORKERS IN A LIMITED RESOURCE SECONDARY HEALTH CARE FACILITY IN NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In general, study is good. In discussion, It is necessary to include more about consequences regarding been overweight/obese among healthcare workers. Especially about been overweight/obese and do not perceive it.	This has been revised to include additional analysis showing prevalence of other non-communicable diseases like hypertension and diabetes mellitus
Minor REVISION comments	Association between percentage of healthcare workers who are overweight/obese and absenteeism at Vom Christian Hospital should be presented. Moreover, authors could compare it with people who perceive themselves with real body weight. Prevalence of other diseases should also be compared between healthcare workers who are overweight/obese and perceive it, unlike who not.	This was not part of the study objectives hence we do not have the data for absenteeism at work Data on the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes and the comparison between those who perceive they are overweight/obese are the normal weight has been included in the results and a section included in the discussion
Optional/General comments		Due to the general reduction of the length of the paper which covered all chapters as required, it has not been possible to highlight in yellow all the changes