SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_35396**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Clinical Determinants and Quality of Life: A Comparative Study of HIV Positive Support Group and Non-Support Group Members at Comprehensive Health Centers in Anambra State in Nigeria.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: **Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Although the manuscript is important in the field, authors provide a comparative analysis of HIV peer support impact on treatment outcomes and quality of life (QoL). The suitability for publications is relied on providing more clearer and effective detailed approach in the below remarks/comments** **Title is not appropriate and very difficult to understand. Suggest authors should carefully read their paer and draw a title based on the tables of results and the objective of the study** **Probably title should be framed as,** Comparative analysis of clinical factors affecting QoL among HIV positive clients peer support group in tertiary hospital, Anambra state, Nigeria **There so many grammartical errors that made the reading very difficult (e.g., Newi=** Nnewi??) **The methods is too long and mainly the determination of sampling and sample size calculation not needed in the manuscript but addendum.** **Data collection domains I, II and II could be summarise in a table.** **The inlusion criteria for HIV membership group and nin group is not clearly defined** **The results should be aligned in appraisal or supporting the factors responsible for WoL changes related to socio-behavioural and clinical. The results should also provide a clear comparative interpretations of the Table 1,2,3,4,5. As well as peer group support influence on HIV retention and adherence on quality of life? This is gross ly missing** **In results section, authors should be consistent with their writing format (e.g.** 6.88years ±3.20= 6.88 ± 3.20 years) **The discussions sould compared the results over yearly to clearly show any changes in HIV burden or clinical impact of HAART treatement or peer group support importance.** **References should be update include HIV status in Anambra in 2012 to 2017**	Done, in page 1 The changes are highlighted in yellowand green in the text. Done page4 Done page4-7 Changes_ Page 7 Effected_ Page 4 Done, Page 8,9 Done

SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	The references should be updated to 2012-2017 as most latest are 2012 and 2007 almost 10 years ago The englisgh language should be revised. The statistical analysis should be verified as some values seem inappropriate	done
Optional/General comments	The statistical analysis should be verified as some values seem inappropriate	Done