SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_34544**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Cefoxitin resistant profile of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from the environment of a tertiary health institution**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**In line 53-60:** you talked about MRSA-related infections, you have have contradicted yourself. You where talking about CRSA and not MRSA, please check these. **In line 68-73.** The description is to much so you can remove. **In line 89-91** why was mannitol salt agar not used from the beginning rather than using NA and Blood Agar since NA is a differencial agar. **In line 96:** check the new name for the diffusion technique used either NCCL or CLSI. **In line 103:** NCCL and CLSI are the same body check the most recent.	Cefoxitin resistance is a good marker for studying MRSA. Treated
Minor REVISION comments	**In line 167:** rephrase the sentences **In line 195:** please do justify the sentences.	Treated
Optional/General comments		