

Original Research Article

Prevalence and molecular detection of ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* in rectal swab of apparently healthy cattle in Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Emergence of antibiotic resistance is a serious health problem both in human and animal all over the world. In this study, we investigated the prevalence of ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* isolated from apparently healthy cattle in Mymensingh district, Bangladesh. A total of 137 rectal swabs was screened among which 95 was found positive for *E. coli*. ~~Confirmation~~ Confirmation of isolation of *E. coli* was done by PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene of *E. coli* (prevalence 69.34%). Resistance against quinolone is primarily due to activities of *qnrS* and *qnrA* gene products. Among these *E. coli* ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant gene *qnrS* was detected in 11 isolates. None of the isolates were found positive for *qnrA* gene. The overall prevalence of *qnrS* positive *E. coli* was 8.03%. Many of these ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* was multi-~~drug-drug~~-resistant. Nucleotide sequence analysis of *qnrS* gene showed homology with the *qnrS* gene detected in China, Nigeria, Taiwan, Russia, Turkey and USA. All ~~these isolates that were having resistance-resistant~~ to multiple quinolones ~~group of antibiotic~~ were found highly sensitive to ~~Imipenem~~imipenem, ~~Ertapenem~~ertapenem and ~~Meropenem~~meropenem. The results of this study indicated d that apparently healthy cattle harbor ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* which have both clinical and public health significance. If strict regulation on the use of quinolones s in food animals is not maintained, these ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* may be transmitted to humans s and other animals s and will may cause serious health problems in future. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the molecular detection of ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* in cattle in Bangladesh.

26

27 Keywords: *Escherichia coli*, healthy cattle, rectal swab, quinolone resistance, *qnrS* gene.

28 1. INTRODUCTION

29 *Escherichia coli* are intestinal commensal bacteria. Although most of the strains of *E. coli* are
30 nonpathogenic, some strains can cause a variety of intestinal and extra-intestinal infections in
31 humans and animals. Virulent strains of *E. coli* can cause gastroenteritis, urinary tract
32 infections, and neonatal meningitis. In rare cases, virulent strains are also responsible for
33 hemolytic-uremic syndrome, anemia, kidney failure, peritonitis, mastitis, septicemia and
34 Gram-negative pneumonia (Schmidt et al., 1996)

35 Diseases caused by *E. coli* can be successfully treated with antibiotics such as
36 fluoroquinolones and quinolones. Quinolones are broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents with
37 excellent activity against *E. coli*. However, frequent uses of antimicrobial agents for control
38 of bacterial infections are believed to be linked with the development of resistance of bacteria
39 to antimicrobial agents. Quinolone-resistant *E. coli* isolates have become a major problem in
40 infection control and treatment worldwide. Before the early 1990's quinolone resistance was
41 rarely found in *E. coli* isolated from humans as well as animal. ~~But~~ However, the frequency
42 of resistance has significantly increased worldwide lately (Jurado et al. 2008).

43 Several investigations on ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* have been done in humans in
44 Bangladesh (Akter et al. 2011, Talukdar et al. 2013). ~~Quinolone-Quinolone~~-resistant
45 ~~Escherichia~~ E. *coli* has been detected in many countries from healthy cattle and other animals
46 (Todorović et al, 2017; Duse et al. 2016). Resistance against quinolone is primarily due to
47 activities of *qnr* genes such as *qnrS* and *qnrA* genes. Antibiotic resistance genes can be
48 transferred to quinolone sensitive bacteria by horizontal gene transfer. Cattle is one of the
49 dominant components of livestock population of Bangladesh. ~~Quinolone-Quinolone~~-resistant

E. coli from cattle can be easily transmitted to other species of animal and into the environment. As per review search, no work has been performed for the investigation of ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* isolated from apparently healthy cattle in Bangladesh. The present study was therefore carried out to investigate the prevalence and molecular detection of ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli* in rectal swab of apparently healthy cattle in Mymensingh.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION

A total 137 rectal swab samples were collected randomly from apparently healthy cattle for isolation of ~~quinolone-quinolone~~-resistant *E. coli*. Among these 137 samples, ~~35-55~~ were collected from Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, 40 from Bangladesh Agricultural University Dairy Farm, Mymensingh, 18 from Upazila Veterinary Hospital, Muktagacha, Mymensingh and 24 from Zila Veterinary Hospital, Mymensingh.

These swab samples were collected under sterile conditions from four age groups of cattle of 0-1 year, 1-3 years, 3-5 years and above 5 years old. All samples originated from apparently healthy cattle. Areas selected for the experimental study were confined within Mymensingh districts of Bangladesh. Mymensingh is one of the largest district of Bangladesh, located about 120 km north of Dhaka, the capital of Bangladesh.

2.2 ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF *E. coli* -AND DETECTION OF *qnrA* and *qnrS*

Isolation and identification of *E. coli* from the rectal swab were done based on morphology, staining, cultural and biochemical characteristics as described by Begum *et al*, (2016).

Suspected *E. coli* isolates were confirmed as *E. coli* by PCR with primers specific for *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene. For PCR *E. coli* DNA was extracted from pure culture by boiling method. In brief, 100 µl of de-ionized water was taken into an eppendorf tube. A pure bacterial colony from overnight culture on 37°C of EMB (Eosin Methylene Blue) agar was gently mixed with de-ionized water. The tube was transferred into boiling water and boiled for 10 minutes then immediately to ice for cold shock about 10 minutes and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 minutes. Supernatant from the tube was collected and used as DNA template for PCR. The DNA sample was stored at -20°C until use. PCR for *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene was done using the primers ECO-1 and ECO-2 as described by Hassan *et al.* (2014). PCR for amplification of quinolone resistant genes (*qnrA* and *qnrS*) ~~were~~was performed using the primers and procedure ~~as~~-described by Robicsek *et al.* (2006).

2.3 ANTIBIOGRAM

E. coli isolates were subjected to antibiotic sensitivity test by disc diffusion method against commonly used quinolones and three carbapenem antibiotics. Disk diffusion test was performed as described by Bauer *et al.* (1966). List of antibiotics used in the antibiogram and their concentration is presented in Table 1. Antimicrobial testing results were recorded as sensitive, intermediately sensitive or resistant and the zone of growth inhibition was compared with the zone size interpretative tables provided by Clinical and laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2012).

2.4 SEQUENCING AND SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

The *qnrS* PCR products were purified by Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega, USA) and sent for sequencing commercially through the First BASE Laboratories SdnBhd, Malaysia. Sequence was analyzed (accession number KX752572) using BLASTx program available in PubMed.

98 2.6 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

99 Data were ~~statistically~~ analyzed where applicable using SPSS version 17 (Chicago: SPSS Inc.). We
100 applied *Chi*-square (χ^2) test to find out the significant relationship. The significance level was fixed at
101 $P < 0.05$.
102

3. Results

3.1 PREVALENCE OF *E. coli*

Prevalence of *E. coli* in rectal swab of apparently healthy cattle is presented in Table 2. The presence of *E. coli* in the rectal swab was confirmed by *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene specific PCR. The overall prevalence of *E. coli* was 69.3%. The highest prevalence was found in above 5 years of age group cattle (95.1%) whereas 0-1 year age group had the lowest prevalence (55.1%). The χ^2 test revealed that there was a significant relationship of prevalence of *E. coli* with the age of cattle.

3.2 ANTIBIOGRAM

Ninety-five *E. coli* isolates was subjected to antibiotic sensitivity test against commonly used quinolones antibiotics and carbapenems. The results of antibiotic profiles are presented in Tables 3, 4 and 5. Among the isolated *E. coli*, antibiotic resistance pattern was found higher in above 5 years old adult cattle compared to other age groups.

Among 95 *E. coli* isolates, 17 isolates were resistant to all 7 types of quinolone antibiotics i.e., they were multi-drug-resistant. The prevalence of all resistant *E. coli* was 17.9%. It was also seen that all resistant *E. coli* isolates were highly sensitive to imipenem, ertapenem and meropenem (data not shown). The results also revealed that more than 50% of isolates were resistant to nalidixic acid, whereas the rates of resistance to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin were lower, 22.1% and 14.8%, respectively. Antibigram profile also revealed that all *E. coli* isolates that were resistant to multiple quinolones were highly sensitive to carbapenem group of antibiotics e.g., imipenem, ertapenem and meropenem.

3.3 MOLECULAR DETECTION OF *qnrS* GENE

All 95 *E. coli* isolates were subjected to PCR for *qnrA* and *qnrS* genes. Among these 95 isolates, 11 showed the presence of *qnrS* gene (prevalence 11.6%). None of the isolates was found positive for *qnrA*. The relation between antibiogram profile and the presence of gene responsible for antibiotic resistance is given in Table 5.

3.4 *qnrS* SEQUENCING

Sequencing of the *qnrS* gene of isolates 21, 83 and 123 was done commercially. These three sequences were identical. The sequence of isolate 21 was submitted to GenBank (accession number KX752572). BLASTx analysis of the sequences in NCBI database showed 100% identity of our *qnrS* gene with those isolated from China, Nigeria, Taiwan, Russia, Turkey and USA.

4. DISCUSSION

In the present study, we determined the prevalence and distribution of quinolone-resistant *E. coli* in apparently healthy cattle in Mymensingh area. In addition, the molecular basis of quinolone resistance was also revealed by PCR detection of quinolone resistant genes and their sequencing. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first record on molecular detection of quinolone resistant *E. coli* in cattle in Bangladesh.

A total of 95 out of 137 rectal swab samples were found positive for *E. coli*. This overall prevalence was 69.3%. Previously, Hassan *et al.* (2014) detected 75% prevalence of *E. coli* in the rectal swab of healthy cattle in Bangladesh. Ogunleye *et al.* (2013) reported prevalence of *E. coli* about 80% in the apparently healthy cattle of Nigeria.

On age basis, this study showed the highest prevalence of *E. coli* was observed in cattle above 5 years of age. This may be due to more exposure of older animal to contaminated environment. Higher prevalence of *E. coli* in elder age group of animal has also been described in different studies (Anwarullah *et al.*, 2014, Hassan *et al.*, 2014).

Result of antibiotic sensitivity test according to age shows that most of the *E. coli* isolates from 0-1 age group of cattle were sensitive to all 7 antibiotics and few isolates were resistant to nalidixic acid and moxifloxacin, but none of them was resistant to levofloxacin. The almost contrasting situation was seen in above 5 years of age group where most of the *E. coli* isolates were resistant to nalidixic acid, moxifloxacin, pefloxacin and ofloxacin but sensitive to levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin.

The overall antibiotic sensitivity results of this study reveal that more than fifty percent (51.6%) of *E. coli* isolates were resistant to nalidixic acid. As nalidixic acid was the first of the synthetic quinolone antibiotics, it has been used indiscriminately and this may have contributed ~~in~~to the development of resistance against it.

Bacteria show resistance against quinolones due to the presence of quinolone-resistance protein encoded by genes (*qnr* gene) such as *qnrS* and *qnrA* gene. The *qnr* genes has been identified worldwide with a high prevalence among enteric human bacteria, poultry and other food animals (White *et al.*, 2000 and Fortiniet *al.*, 2011; Nordmann and Poirel, 2005). Based on PCR-based screening among the 95 *E. coli* isolates, 11 (11.6%) isolates were found positive for the *qnrS* gene and none for *qnrA*. Our findings are similar to those of Yue *et al.* (2008) and Chen *et al.* (2012). Detection of *qnrS* gene from the isolated *E. coli* confirmed that presence of quinolone-resistance protein in these *E. coli* isolates is the molecular basis for quinolone resistance.

Carbapenem is a member of beta lactum group of antibiotics. It is commonly used in human to treat multidrug-resistant bacteria. Imipenem, ertapenem and meropenem are members of the carbapenem group of antibiotics. An interesting finding of the present study is that all *E. coli* isolates that were found resistant to multiple antibiotics of quinolone group were highly sensitive to imipenem, ertapenem and meropenem. As far as we know, these antibiotics are

not common in Bangladesh and not used in animals and hence were found highly sensitive against the isolated *E. coli*.

In Bangladesh, antibiotics are used indiscriminately in veterinary practice, resulting in the occurrence of antibiotic resistant *E. coli* (Hussain *et al.*, 1982). Several multi-drug resistant *E. coli* were isolated from rectal swab of healthy cattle in this study. Five *E. coli* isolates among the 11 *qnrS*-positive *E. coli* were found resistant to seven types of quinolone antibiotic (multidrug-resistant) and other 6 *E. coli* isolates were resistant to one or two types of quinolone antibiotics. *E. coli* isolates resistant to 7 quinolones were most frequent in above 5 years of age where 3 of 4 isolates were multidrug-resistant. This may be the cause of more frequent exposure to quinolone antibiotics in their entire life. The prevalence of multidrug-resistant *E. coli* detected in this study area appears to be similar to earlier observations made by other authors (Nazir *et al.*, 2005, Hossain *et al.*, 2008).

Blast search (BLASTx) analysis of the *qnrS* gene detected in this study showed 100% identity to the *qnrS* containing region in quinolone-resistant protein gene (*qnrS1*) of China (KP773319.1, KP400525.1) (Chen *et al.* 2012; Liu *et al.*, 2015), Nigeria (KM023153.1) (Fortini *et al.* 2015), and Turkey (KP114562.1). These findings suggest that same type of *qnrS* gene is prevalent across the globe that may have a common ancestral origin.

The presence of quinolone-resistant *E. coli* in the healthy cattle has serious consequence on human health, particularly due to their multidrug resistant characteristics. Since humans remain in close contact with cattle in Bangladesh, particularly in rural areas, there is a great chance for the transmission of these antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* to humans and other animals. Measures therefore have to be adopted to limit the indiscriminate use of antibiotics in animal.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study *E. coli* was isolated from rectal swabs of healthy cattle and confirmed by PCR targeting *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene. Many of these isolated *E. coli* were found resistant to quinolone. *qnrS* gene responsible for quinolone resistance was also detected in the quinolone-resistant *E. coli* -isolates and sequenced. Many of these *E. coli* isolates were also multidrug-resistant. The occurrence of quinolone-resistant *E. coli* in healthy cattle has great public health significance. Since in many cases people are in close contact with their cattle, especially in rural areas, these antibiotic resistant bacteria could transmit to them from healthy cattle. If strict regulation on the use of quinolone in food animals is not maintained, these quinolone-resistant *E. coli* may be transmitted to humans and other animals and may cause serious health problems in future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very much thankful to Prof. Vincent Perreten, Institute of Veterinary Bacteriology, University of Berne, Switzerland for providing the carbapenem antibiotics disc.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared that they ~~had~~ have no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Akter F, Amin MR, Osman KT, Anwar MN, Karim MM and MA Hossain, 2011. Ciprofloxacin-resistant *Escherichia coli* in hospital wastewater of Bangladesh and prediction of its mechanism of resistance. World J. Microbiol Biotech. 28;827-834.

219 Anwarullah M, Khan JA, Khan MS, Ashraf K and M Avais, 2014. Prevalence of *Salmonella*
220 and *Escherichia coli* associated with diarrhea in buffalo and cow calves. Buffalo
221 Bullet. 33:332-336.

222 Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC and Turck M, 1966: Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a
223 standardized single disk method. Am J Clin Pathol. 45: 493-496.

224 Begum, F, Islam, MM, Sohiddullah, M, Kabir, SML, Islam M, and Rahman MT, 2016.
225 Molecular identification and antibiogram profiles of *Escherichia coli* isolated from
226 apparently healthy and diarrheic goats. Bangladesh J. Vet.Med. 14:203-208.

227 Chen X, Zhang W, Pan W, Yin J, Pan Z, Gao S, and Jiao X, 2012. Prevalence of *qnr*,
228 *aac(6')-Ib-cr*, *qepA*, and *oqxAB* in *Escherichia coli* isolates from humans, animals,
229 and the environment. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56: 3423-3427.

230 CLSI 2012. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests; Approved
231 Standard—Eleventh Edition. CLSI document M02-A11. Wayne, PA: Clinical and
232 Laboratory Standards Institute.

233 Duse A, Wallera, KP, Emanuelson U, Unnerstada, HE, Persson Y and Bengtsson B,
234 2016. Occurrence and spread of quinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* on dairy
235 farms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82: 3765-3773.

236 Fortini D, Fashae K, Garcia-Fernandez A, Villa L, and A Caratolli, 2011. Plasmid mediated
237 quinolone resistance and beta lactamases in *E coli* from healthy animals from Nigeria.
238 J. Antimicrob. Chem. 6: 1269-1272.

239 Fortini, D., Fashae, K., Villa, L., Feudi, C., García-Fernández, A. and A Carattoli, 2015. A
240 novel plasmid carrying blaCTX-M-15 identified in commensal *Escherichia coli* from
241 healthy pregnant women in Ibadan. Nigeria. J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist. 3:9-12.

242 Hassan J, Parvej MS, Rahman MB, Khan MSR, Rahman MT, Kamal T and KHMNH Nazir,
 243 2014. Prevalence and characterization of *Escherichia coli* from rectal swab of
 244 apparently healthy cattle in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. Microb. Health, 3: 12-14.

245 Hossain MT, Siddique MP, Hossain FMA, Zinnah MA, Hossain MM, Alam MK, Rahman
 246 MT and Choudhury KA, 2008. Isolation, identification, toxin profile and antibiogram
 247 of *Escherichia coli* isolated from broilers and layers in Mymensingh district of
 248 Bangladesh. Bangladesh Vet. Med. 6:01-05.

249 Hussain MM, Glass RJ and Khan MR, 1982: Antibiotic used in a rural community in
 250 Bangladesh. Int. J. Epidemiol. 11: 402-405.

251 Jurado S, Orden JA, Horcajo P, Fuente RDL, Ruiz-Sanat-Quiteria JA, Martínez-Pulgarin S
 252 and Domínguez-Bernal G, 2008. Characterization of fluoroquinolone resistance in
 253 *Escherichia coli* strains from ruminants. J. Vet. Diagnost. Invest. 20: 342-345.

254 Liu Y, Feng Y, Wu W, Xie Y, Wang X, Zhang X, Chen X and Z Zong, 2015. First report of
 255 OXA-181-producing *Escherichia coli* in China and characterization of the isolate
 256 using whole-genome sequencing. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 59: 5022-5025.

257 Nazir KHMNH, Rahman MB, Nasiruddin KM, Akhtar F, Khan MFR and Islam MS, 2005:
 258 Antibiotic sensitivity of *Escherichia coli* isolated from water and its relation with
 259 plasmid profile analysis. Pakistan J. Biolog. Sci. 8: 1610-1613.

260 Nordmann P, Poirel L 2005: Emergence of plasmid-mediated resistance to quinolones in
 261 *Enterobacteriaceae*. J. Antimicrobial Chem. 56: 463-469.

262 Ogunleye AO, Okunlade AO, Jeminlehin FO, Ajuwape ATP, 2013: Antibiotic resistance in
 263 *Escherichia coli* isolated from healthy cattle at a major cattle market in Ibadan, Oyo
 264 State, South Western, Nigeria. African J. Microbiol. Res. 7:4572-4575.

265 Robicsek A, Strahilevitz J, Sahm DF, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC, 2006: *qnr* Prevalence in
 266 ceftazidime-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates from the United States. *Antimicrob.*
 267 *Agents Chemother.* 50: 2872-2874.
 268
 269 Schmidt H, Maier E, Karch H, Benz R, 1996: Pore-forming properties of the plasmid-
 270 encoded hemolysin of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *European Journal of*
 271 *Biochemistry*, 241: 594-601.
 272
 273 Talukdar PK, Rahman M, Rahman M, Nabi A, Islam Z, Hoque MM, Endtz HP, Islam MA,
 274 2013: Antimicrobial resistance, virulence factors and genetic diversity of *Escherichia*
 275 *coli* isolates from household water supply in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *PLoS ONE*, 8:
 276 e61090.
 277 Todorović D, Velhner M, Grego E, Vidanović D, Milanov D, Krnjaić D, Kehrenberg C.
 278 2017. Molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from
 279 bovine clinical mastitis and pigs in the Vojvodina Province, Serbia.
 280 *Microb Drug Resist.* 2017. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2017.0016.
 281 White DG, Piddock LJV, Maurer JJ 2000: Characterization of fluoroquinolone-resistance
 282 among veterinary isolates of avian *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*
 283 44:2897-2899.
 284 Yue L, Jiang HX, Liao XP, Liu JH, Li SJ, Chen XY, Chen CX, Lü DH, Liu YH 2008:
 285 Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance *qnr* genes in poultry and swine
 286 clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli*. *Vet. Microbiol.* 132; 414-420.
 287
 288

289 **Table 1. Name of antibiotics with their disc concentration.**

Name of Antibiotic	Disc Concentration(µg)
Quinolone Group	
Nalidixic Acid	30 µg
Gatifloxacin	5 µg
Levofloxacin	5 µg
Moxifloxacin	5 µg
Pefloxacin	5 µg
Ofloxacin	5 µg
Ciprofloxacin	5 µg
Carbapenem Group	
Ertapenem	10 µg
Imipenem	10 µg
Meropenem	10 µg

290

291

292 **Table 2. Prevalence of *E. coli* in rectal swab of apparently healthy cattle.**

Age group	No. of collected sample	Positive samples for <i>E. coli</i>	
		No.	%
0-1 year	69	38	55.1
1-3 years	19	13	68.4
3-5 years	8	5	62.5
Above 5 years	41	39	95.1
Total	137	95	69.3

293

294

295 **Table 3. Antibigram profile of *E. coli* isolates according to age variation.**

Age (year)	<i>E. coli</i> (+)	Pattern	Number of <i>E. coli</i> isolates						
			Nali	Gati	Levo	Moxi	Peflo	Oflo	Cipro
0-1	38	Sensitive	27	29	33	30	34	35	34
		Intermediate	2	3	5	0	0	0	2
		Resistant	9	6	0	8	4	3	2
1-3	13	Sensitive	4	5	8	11	7	7	7
		Intermediate	0	0	1	0	1	0	3
		Resistant	9	8	4	2	5	6	3
3-5	5	Sensitive	1	2	3	1	3	4	1
		Intermediate	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Resistant	4	2	2	4	2	1	3
>5	39	Sensitive	12	18	24	17	10	14	19
		Intermediate	0	4	7	1	4	0	7
		Resistant	27	17	8	21	25	25	13

296

297

298 **Table 4. The overall antibiogram profile of isolated *E. coli* according to different**
 299 **antibiotics.**

Antibiotic Name	Sensitive	Intermediate	Resistant
Nalidixic Acid	43 (45.3%)	3 (3.2%)	49 (51.6%)
Gatifloxacin	54 (56.8%)	8 (8.4%)	33 (34.7%)
Levofloxacin	68 (71.6%)	13 (13.7%)	14 (14.8%)
Moxifloxacin	59 (62.1%)	1 (1.0%)	35 (36.8%)
Pefloxacin	54(56.8%)	5 (5.3%)	36 (37.9%)
Ofloxacin	60 (63.2%)	0	35(36.8%)
Ciprofloxacin	61 (64.2)	13 (13.7%)	21 (22.1%)

300

301

302 **Table 5. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of *E. coli* isolates with *qnrS* gene.**

Age group	Isolate No.	Resistance to antibiotic
0-1 year	10	NA, GAT, LE, MO, PF, OF, CIP
	32	NA, PF
	123	NA, MO, PF
1-3 years	11	NA, GAT
	115	NA
3-5 years	97	NA, GAT, LE, MO, PF, OF, CIP
	106	NA, GAT
>5 years	21	NA, GAT, LE, MO, PF, OF, CIP
	43	NA, GAT, LE, MO, PF, OF, CIP
	83	NA, GAT
	84	NA, GAT, LE, MO, PF, OF, CIP

303 NA-Nalidixic Acid, GAT-Gatifloxacin, LE-Levofloxacin, MO-Moxifloxacin, PF-Pefloxacin,
 304 OF-Ofloxacin, CIP-Ciprofloxacin. Isolates that are resistant to three or more antibiotics were
 305 considered as multi-drug resistant.

306