



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_33730
Title of the Manuscript:	Malaria and Agriculture: Examining the Cost Implications and Effect on Productivity among Farm Households in Kogi State, Nigeria
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The changes have improved the manuscript a lot. Nonetheless, some aspects should/have to be included in the manuscript: - You have to structured and present abstract as recommended by the journal by mentioning the following parts : Aims, Place and duration of study, Results, Conclusion - You have to be included a section referred to as "Ethical issues" even though your study was not invasive, compulsory and confidential as mentioned in your response to my first comments. - Table 2 should be properly captioned. What represent the variable "Dist to health facility"? This is mentioned in the table 2 of the revised manuscript. - Explain me why you distinguish between $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$. The p-value is not a proxy estimate of the size effect between variables and so results from a statistical analysis are either significant or not. - You stated in your response "Relevant descriptive statistics have been presented in Table 1". So, what means "RELEVANT" to you? - It not because your study has not "Serious limitations" that it has not some ones. So present, some limitations of the study.	Effectuated Effectuated Effectuated $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$ indicates different levels of statistical significance. $P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.05$ reflects 99% and 95% confidence interval respectively. There are more descriptive statistics. However, we presented the ones that are 'relevant' to our study. Effectuated