



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_33710
Title of the Manuscript:	LARVICIDAL ACTIVITY OF SACOGLOTTIS GABONNENSIS STEM BARK EXTRACTS AGAINST CULEX QUINQUEFASCIATUS AND AEDES AEGYPTI MOSQUITOES IN UYO, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The authors state "...biocidal active compounds, including phenolics, terpenoids, flavonoids and alkaloids" Then later state "...Plant could be an alternative and veritable source of mosquito larvicides because they contain an array of bioactive chemicals that are generally free from harmful effects." So I find this a little misleading as the concentration needed to kill insects would likely be harmful to various other animals and humans. Is there any reference or study which used the extracts on rodents in the same concentrations as used in this study which showed no harmful effects? I am not aware of any study so maybe address this issue please.	We appreciate that point and have corrected that impression by deleting the last part that says: "that are generally free from harmful effects"
Optional/General comments		