



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_33598
Title of the Manuscript:	MYCOBACTERIUM TUBERCULOSIS AND HIV CO -INFECTIONS AMONG PATIENTS ATTENDING A NIGERIAN TERTIARY HEALTH CENTER.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-Introduction No is clear the objective of study The results must be showed in order, first the number of cases per year followed the figure. Then gender distribution and table 1 and distribution of resistance in table 3. Please insert figure o table after text. How can explain the difference in prevalence and same Rif-DR % between this study and bi-hospital previously reported in the same city? The other hospitals are second level attention?	1. Comment not clear to my understanding concerning the Introduction 2.. I hope I followed your instruction. See text. 3. An explanation for the drop in MTB prevalence can be attributed to a tertiary health center where only complicated cases were referred to, while the bi-centre study was done in secondary health centers that attended to more number of patients of different nature. The prevalence of MTB Rifampicin Resistance in the study was only 12.0% (Re treatment=9.2% ; Treatment naïve=2.8%). The result is different from a bi –center study done in Makurdi three years ago with 13.9 % RIF resistance (Retreated=11.3%; Treatment naïve=2.6%)³
Minor REVISION comments	-A lot of mistakes in use of spelling, spaces between words, use of abbreviations of pathologies, methods and, italic letter type to name bacteria: MTB, ASF, Fig., etc	Been attended to. Thanks
Optional/General comments	-Please use the same type of letters, and introduces spaces between paragraph and section of manuscript to be this more easy learn Some mistakes in the style of references	Approval by the Ethics and Research Committee of the hospital and written or oral consent of the patients were sought before sample collection.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Please clarify the ethical issue if any. Yes, however is not included number of approving to its development	
--	--	--