

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_31930
Title of the Manuscript:	MALARIA TREATMENT PATTERNS AMONG PATENT MEDICINE VENDORS IN OBIO-AKPOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript has interesting results that give valuable knowledge. The data were collected six years ago, and an initial question is why it took so long time to send in the manuscript for publication? The questionnaire was pretested, but no data of validity or reliability are described from this test. Were there no needs of corrections in the first version of the questionnaire? It would have been valuable with the mean age of the participants. Table 3 need to add the information N=97. Table 5 has some empty rows; perhaps can these rows be deleted. Informed consent was collected, but there is no information about Ethical approval.	Thank you for your comments Thank you for your comments. It wasn't sent in for publication before now because were working on other manuscripts and it has just gotten to the turn of this one. The questionnaire was pretested to confirm its reliability. Corrections were made in the first version of the questionnaire. True. However, mean age was not used N=97 added to Table 3 Table 5 empty rows deleted Unfortunately we didn't ask for ethical clearance, but we got permission from the medicine vendors.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		