

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_31309
Title of the Manuscript:	“Adolescents’ college students’ perception of their parents’ style of parenting in Enugu and Abakiliki, South-East Nigeria. Is it an ethno-cultural phenomenon?”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Title. 2nd part (Is it an ethno-cultural phenomenon?). There aren't empirical data to explain this construct. - After L 83. As a consequence of the theoretical background, to formulate some research questions (heuristics), to be resolved when having data by application of the questionnaire, it is necessary to include. - L 100 ss. The questionnaire should be attached. But, according to the data, insufficient questions are foreseen (see below: Optional/General comments).	This has been deleted We have included our Questionnaire We have included our Questionnaire
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Between L 28 - 29. A brief paragraph explaining the reason for choosing this research's theme. To be possible, taken from the author's personal experience.	Please see line 87-91 For such details
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	An error in the focus of the whole report is appreciated. On the one hand the background offers aspects on the parental styles' consequences, as well as some cross-cultural references, although in the empirical part of the text do not pose items to verify the mentioned consequences in the familiar reality, after in the discussion & conclusions what is said into background is again mentioned as if such results had been found. Even in the latter part, quotations not mentioned in the state of the question are added. The work must be rethought globally to offer it systematically.	We have tried our best to rewrite this work