



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_31309
Title of the Manuscript:	“Adolescents’ college students’ perception of their parents’ style of parenting in Enugu and Abakiliki, South-East Nigeria. Is it an ethno-cultural phenomenon?”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1). The paper is about the adolescents and college students as mentioned in the title of the article, but the methodology and results all reflects school's data from school going students. This is misleading. 2). Two metropolises were selected for study, however, this has not significantly illustrated in the methodology for cross sectorial analysis. Cross Sectional analysis is also not illustrated and documented in the result sections. 3). Parent styles or parenting (interchangeably used, which is confusing to the reader). Styles of parenting is appropriate to be used. 4). Styles of parenting (autocratic and permissive is understandable, however, authoritarian is confusing which has a similar impression of autocratic in management, leadership and organizational studies. Instead, Active parenting may be the right term. However, differentiating these terms precisely is necessary and important, how these styles were analysed is important to be illustrated in the methodology section and also the results reflecting these analysis is necessary for which the author(s) claimed as a study objective. 5). Cross sectional study has not been illustrated. 6). Methodology section needs to be reworked to precisely illustrate the research scientific undertaking of data collection, analysis, methods so forth. 7). Results sections does not meet the requirement	The title is now modified to read College students' perception of their parents' style of parenting in Enugu and Abakiliki, South-East Nigeria. Is it an ethno-cultural phenomenon? We have deleted the fact that this is a cross sectional study. rather the word observational study has been used. This has been corrected We have replaced the word authoritarian with Active parenting These styles of parenting have already been differentiated in the introduction section.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	of analysis and needs to be reworked adequately to support and furnish the findings to meet the scientific rigor. 8). Discussions must include the reflections from findings and results either supporting the problem statement or not. It seems discussions are the presumptions and does not incorporate the findings and does not correlates with the problem statement and results. 9). Most of the discussions were not derived and documented in the results sections. Plagiarism issue: The methodological section Similarities detected by TURNITIN is of worries. 26% similarities and similarities found in methodology	This has been adequately illustrated. The methodology has been rewritten in its whole entirety We have done our best possible on this section Our discussion is based on the results forthwith Our discussion is based on the results forthwith This has been rectified
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Adolescence can be categorised in different stages, in all these stages parenting styles differ so does the adolescents behaviour.	Thanks for the observation