



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_31142
Title of the Manuscript:	CAESAREAN DELIVERY AT A TEACHING HOSPITAL, SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA: A FIVE-YEAR REVIEW
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Well conducted article. - Good effort has been done by the authors. - Introduction is quite long, need to be shortened. - Methods simple and clear. - In the results section each table should stand by its own with referring to the text. Also, any abbreviation that has been used in the tables should be identified as in table 4. - The results section the text is just a repetition of the tables, both should be complementary. - It is clear that neonatal asphyxia and death are very high which is alarming in this study. - The authors should suggest solutions or recommendations to improve their practice and to avoid such complications. - The discussion should be rewritten as follows; what they found, what such finding mean, explanation of such findings', compare their results with previous studies, limitations of the study. - The conclusion is very poor as it is not reflecting the importance of the study or lessons that should be taken from it. - The authors should clearly do practical recommendations to improve their practice.	Thank you for your detailed comments. All the corrections and suggestions have been effected. Abbreviations have been esponged. This has been corrected. Recommendation on the use of cardiotocography and fetal acid-base study for early detection of fetal distress has been made. This has been done. This has been written as suggested.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Line 11: "were analysed" can be replaced by ", and were analysed "	This has been corrected.
	Table 4: what is the meaning of " PMTCT ".	The full meaning has been written in the text.
Optional/General comments		