



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29487
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS, BELIEFS ON RISK FACTORS/AETIOLOGIES, AND ATTITUDES OF STUDENTS OF PRIMARY HEALTH CARE-RELATED DIPLOMA PROGRAMMES IN IBADAN, NIGERIA, TOWARDS BABIES WITH CLEFT LIP
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The document contains some minor problems, whether typos or oversights in proofing, that need to be corrected. The researchers should consult the style guide again. Generally, hyphens are needed for numbers like "thirty-one". A sentence starting with "however" should generally not have a "but" clause in it. More analysis of tables in the body of the text would make the manuscript more conclusive. The paragraph about table one restates information contained in the table and does not provide further explanation of what those numbers mean, so it seems redundant.	Thank you Sir/Ma for the review comments. We have worked on the typographical errors. We have concisely analysed the table in the body of the text We have worked on the paragraph about table 1.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Both the recommendations conclusion sections were too short.	We have added more information into the two sections
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Possible recommendations include some programs to educate people on causes of cleft lip and the lack of scientific support for claims of witchcraft or similar superstition.	We have incorporated this into the manuscript. Thank you very much