



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29181
Title of the Manuscript:	Ectopic pregnancy: recent experience in a tertiary hospital, South-southern Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1-In Abstract methods (Hospital-based cohort study were all women with ectopic pregnancy confirmed at laparotomy were analyzed), the language is not clear also confirmed at laparotomy it means that some cases subjected to unnecessary laparotomy just to exclude ectopic. 2- Your conclusion did not add anything & and all mentioned this is facts. 3- In table III what the difference between, unemployed & housewife & what the value to mention detailed job. 4- The abdominal pregnancy occur in 7 cases, this very strange to see this very rare cases & how managed? 5-cases managed by laparotomy, why laparoscopy did not offered? This is not mentioned in your discussion.	This is a hospital based cohort study. All women had laparotomy and the ectopic gestation was identified during surgery. There is the urgent need of public health measures like safe sex, female education and female empowerment aimed at reducing the identified risk factors in our environment. Unemployed are single ladies not employed and are not students. Housewives are married women. The correct number is 3 not 7 This was also managed by laparotomy, excision and ligation of bleeders with blood transfusion. As at the time of the study, our aparoscopic equipment were malfunctional.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		