



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29019
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION AND SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES WITH NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE SCHEME SERVICES AT UNIVERSITY COLLEGE HOSPITAL, NIGERIA
New Title:	PERCEPTION AND SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES WITH NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE SCHEME SERVICES: A DESCRIPTIVE STUDY AT UNIVERSITY COLLEGE HOSPITAL, IBADAN, NIGERIA
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
*General: Many language & grammatical errors Addition should be in different colour to facilitate re-revision Most of the reply in the evaluation form are not presented in the text *Abstract: What is the p value of the response rate? Use % instead of absolute numbers *Introduction: Merge introduction with review of literature *Methods: Write sample size calculation then the sampling method Why convenient sample? Nothing was mentioned about perception score What about the content validity of satisfaction & perception score? *Results: Many figures than be merged within tables (e.g. merge fig 1 with table 1) or presented as statement (e.g. fig 2) or in new tables (other figures) Table 2: why this selective comparison? *Discussion: Still defective Why financial constraints, it is just printing and distributing the	Thank you for your comments on this manuscript. The comments have been addressed as follows: *General: Language and grammatical errors have been corrected. *Abstract: P-value of the study was .05 % has been used instead of absolute numbers *Introduction: Introduction has been merged with review of literature *Methods: Sample size calculation has been written before sampling method Respondents' Perception: To determine respondents' personal feeling about NHIS services, a question was asked in the questionnaire with options as follows "So far, how did you perceive NHIS healthcare services at UCH, Ibadan?" (a) Excellent ☐ (b) Good ☐ (c) Fair ☐ (e) Poor ☐ The respondents were instructed to choose an option based on their individual view and experience about NHIS services at the study setting. Each chosen option represented each respondent's perception about NHIS. Thereafter, the respondents' responses were collated and percentage of each response was calculated. The results obtained represented their perception about NHIS services whether excellent, good, fair or poor at the study setting. Content validity of satisfaction and perception score: the study instrument was modified to accommodate



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

questionnaire, delete this statement. Too long conclusion What is the practical implication of the results?	objectives of the study and the content was compared to the available literatures on the topic. The instrument was pre-tested among enrollee staff of another department not included in the study but within the study setting. Internal consistency was validated with estimated Cronbach's Alpha of (0.83) before final administration of the study instrument. *Results: Figure 1 has been merged with table 1 and figures have been presented in tables. Results of hypotheses 1 and 2 were merged in table 8 as requested by one of the reviewers in the first review of this manuscript. *Discussion: Discussion has been re-stated Financial constraint has been deleted Conclusion has been reduced Practical implication of the study has been included in the manuscript Thank you for your continuous support on the manuscript.
--	--