



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_29019
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION AND SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES WITH NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE SCHEME SERVICES AT UNIVERSITY COLLEGE HOSPITAL, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The title of the study should include the study design - The key word National Health Insurance Scheme is not under MeSH headings hence needs change. - The citing of references must be in an orderly manner - Introduction is too lengthy hence needs reduction in number of words - Line 124 the study design cannot be a cross sectional study as it is a hospital based study. Hence it should be mentioned only as descriptive study - Headings of graph / figure 1 needs revision and reframing of sentences - The null hypothesis must be accepted in line 261 & 269 as p value is > 0.05 - Funding agency not mentioned	Thank you for reviewed this manuscript. The corrections have been effected as follows: Study design has been included in the title of the study. The keyword National Health insurance Scheme has been deleted. Citing of references has been state in an orderly manner. Introduction has been reduced in number of words. Line 124: study design has been written as descriptive study. Line 261 and 269: the null hypotheses were accepted since p-values were greater than .05 respectively. The study was a self-sponsored project; hence there is no funding agency. Line 38: the reference number [2] has been stated at the end of the statement. Line 94: typographical error has been corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

		References 10, 11, 12 and 13 have been written in Vancouver style. Thank you.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• In line 38 the reference number [2] must be mentioned at the end of the sentence. • Typographical error in line 94 • References 10, 11, 12, 13 not in Vancouver style hence needs revision	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In line 155 mentioning of the license number of spss 15 is needed	