



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                            |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>                                                     |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJTDH_28884                                                                                                             |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Investigating the Plasmodium falciparum infection intensity and two methods of malaria diagnosis in North Western Nigeria. |
| Type of the Article      | Research Article                                                                                                           |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <p>The study has been presented reasonably well; however, the following still need to be addressed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Reasons for selecting 5 out of 7 States in the zone should be stated.</li> <li>2. The level of statistical significance used in this study should be mentioned.</li> <li>3. The discussion in this study is muddle up with the result. The two should be separated. This is to give more technical soundness to the study.</li> <li>4. The authors for reference 8, 9, 10, 12, 13 should not be abbreviated.</li> <li>5. References 8, 9,10,12,13 do not follow Vancouver reference styles. They should be re-written properly</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The initial plan was to cover the entire zone, however after planning the budget we realized we could not cover the 7 states, therefore we randomised the selection and picked five states.</li> <li>2. Level of statistical significance was 0.05</li> <li>3. The journal guide lines, allowed merging discussion and results. However they have been separated as required.</li> <li>4. Noted and corrected</li> <li>5. Noted and corrected</li> </ol> |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | <p>This is an interesting topic. Therefore the findings (Results) should be properly and thoroughly discussed with good literature support</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | <p>The entire discussion has been rewritten.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |