



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_28352
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial activity, safety and acceptability of formulated ginger-fortified hand sanitizer gel

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors have tried their best to revise the manuscript; however, some arising issues need to be addressed. Para 4, p.3: the random sampling was described unclear. The author should state the sampling frame, the total number of students of the university, the number of faculties of the university? How did the author approach the students? The inclusive and exclusive criteria. What did the author do if the selected student refused to participate in the study or if the selected participants violated the inclusive criteria? "Glass beads test", p.4: "... carefully introduced into sterile petri dishes..." but how? "Animal care and Management", p.4: How did the author ensure that the food and drinking water was not contaminated? Did the author conduct any tests? Para 3, p.5: where did the author randomly select 20 participants? Where were they selected from, the list of students of the university or in the community? Statistical analyses: where was Dunn's multiple comparisons test used in the result? The sentence "The ethical clearance was also sought from the Research Governing body of the Faculty of Science, Ekiti State University" should be added into the "Ethical clearance" p.5. If ANOVA was used in the table 2. It should be stated below the table and p-value should be showed.	1. We disagree with the Reviewer on the comment made in on the sampling technique. This work is not an epidemiologic study. We are not working on prevalence or occurrence of any disease or infection. The idea of total number of students, number of faculties do not come in at all. 2. The method has been clearly stated. Sterile forceps were used. 3. Feed contaminated? With what? I don't know the reason for this since this study is not a nutritional or a toxicological study. This study was conducted for 72 hrs. I don't conduct any food contamination test. There if no food contamination that has skin and eye irritations as indexes. As part of the good animal management we feed them with clean water and normal rabbit diets. 4. The subjects were drawn from the university community. This is included in the MS. 5. The Dunn's MC was used to detect the susceptibility of the isolates to the extract 6. The ethical clearance has been adequately framed. 7. The ANNOVA has been addressed 8.