

Original Research Article

Post-surgical infection and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of bacteria isolated from admitted patients with signs of infection at Jimma University Specialized Hospital, Jimma, Ethiopia.

Running heading; Nosocomial infection & isolate antibiotic response.

Key words; nosocomial, antibiotic resistance, bacteria, Jimma University

Abstract

Introduction: Nosocomial infection (hospital acquired infections) poses a significant burden and threat for public health. Treatment of such infections is becoming difficult due to resistance of antibiotics to the bacteria that circulate in the Hospital environment.

The aim of this study was to determine the profile and drug resistance pattern of bacteria isolated from inpatients with clinical signs of infection.

Methodology: A cross sectional study was done on patients admitted to surgical and gynecological wards. All patients were followed until discharge. Patients who had shown signs of infection after 48 hours of admission were interviewed for some socio demographic and associated factors data. wound swab, urine and blood specimens were collected and processed to isolate and identify bacteria. The antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the isolates was done.

Results: The overall prevalence of culture positive nosocomial infection was 35%. *Staphylococcus aureus*, coagulase negative staphylococci, *E. coli*, and *Pseudomonas species* were the most frequent isolates. Nearly 100% of Gram positive and 92.6% of Gram negative

isolates had shown multi drug resistance against two or more commonly used classes of drugs.
Conclusion: The observed multi drug resistance rate of the isolates is very worrisome and demands immediate attention.

Introduction

Nosocomial or Hospital-acquired infection (HAI) continues to be a major public health concern throughout the world because of the associated morbidity, mortality and socioeconomic costs (1,2,3). It can prolong duration of stay in hospital, increases the cost of health care and the risk of emergence of multiple antibiotic resistant microorganisms (3, 4).

The most frequent nosocomial infections seen are pneumonia, intra venous device related blood stream infection (BSI), surgical site infection (SSI) and urinary tract infection (UTI) (5). These infections are usually caused by bacteria including Coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNs), *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus species*, *E.coli*, *Klebsiella species* and *Pseudomonas species* (6,7).

Nosocomial infection occurs among 7-12% of the hospitalized patients globally with more than 1.4 million people suffering from its complications. Regionally, it occurs in 5%-10% of all hospitalizations in Europe and North America. Furthermore, it occurs in more than 40% of hospitalizations in parts of Asia, Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa (8,9,10). Treatment of nosocomial infections is becoming difficult due to the increasing trend of antibiotics resistance. This condition increases the morbidity and mortality associated with infections. It contributes substantially to the rising costs of care which is associated with prolonged hospital stays and the need for more expensive drugs. Therefore current knowledge about the etiologic agents and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the isolates is essential for appropriate therapy.

In Ethiopia, a report from Tikur Anbessa and Mekelle hospitals indicated how the problem is serious (4,5,11,12). In Jimma University Specialized Hospital (JUSH) only few studies were done on patient samples in the year 2000, 2001 and 2008 (13,14, 15). These studies reported high bacterial resistance against commonly used antibiotics. However, none of these studies included surgical and gynecological wards of the hospital. Besides, most of these studies were done more than 10 years ago and could not reflect the current picture of the problem. One recent study conducted in 2011 reported high indoor air bacterial load at surgical wards and operating rooms of JUSH as compared with the standard expected indoor air bacterial load. This report suggested the need of doing a study to depict the nosocomial infection burden and the bacteria profile of isolates on patients who are admitted and have been receiving medical care in these rooms (16).

Hence, this study was done to determine the magnitude of nosocomial infection, bacteria profile and drug resistance pattern of the isolates among nosocomial suspected patients admitted to surgical and gynecological wards to generate important base line information.

Materials and Methods

Study settings and selection of participants

Hospital based longitudinal study was carried out from June, 2012 to February; 2013 at Jimma University Specialized Hospital (JUSH). The hospital is tertiary level hospital which is found in Jimma town, Oromia region and 352 Km away from Addis Ababa (capital city of Ethiopia) in South West direction. According to the data obtained from the hospital statistics department, JUSH has 11 wards, about 444 beds and 861 health professionals. Jimma University Specialized

hospital besides its being a teaching hospital, it provides services to over 1 million populations in the region. It is the only teaching and referral hospital in South Western Ethiopia (17).

Inclusion criteria:- All patients admitted to surgical and gynecological wards, who had elective or emergency surgery, with no clinical signs and symptoms of infection within the first 48 hours, and with negative urine culture for asymptomatic bacteriuria at admission were included. Such patients have been followed up to the date of discharge for signs of infection.

Definition of suspected cases

In this study, presentation of at least one of suggestive signs [fever ($T^0 > 38^0\text{C}$), urgency, frequency, dysuria, supra-pubic tenderness and indwelling catheter insert] was considered as a clinical sign for urinary tract infection (UTI). Likewise, presentation of at least one of [fever ($T^0 > 38^0\text{C}$), pain, tenderness, localized swelling, redness, heat, abscess or drainage] was considered as clinical sign for surgical site infection (SSI). Presentation of at least one of indicative signs (fever ($T^0 > 38^0\text{C}$), chills, hypotension (systolic pressure $< 90\text{mm}$) oligouria ($< 20\text{cm}^3/\text{hour}$)) was considered as a clinical sign for blood stream infection (BSI) (18).

Definition of proven nosocomial cases

Confirmed blood stream infection (Septicemia) - presence of fever ($T^0 > 38^0\text{C}$), chills, or hypotension accompanied with a positive blood culture for isolated organism is not related to an infection at another site or for common skin contaminants isolation of the same bacteria from blood samples drawn at two different occasions (18).

Confirmed urinary tract infection- the presence of dysuria, urgency and frequency of urination and cloudy urine after admission or instrumentation of urinary tract followed by significant bacteriurea. In this study mid-stream urine cultures with $\geq 10^5$ colony forming units (CFU) and

catheterized urine with $\geq 10^2$ colony forming units were considered as significant. On top of this indwelling catheter infection was defined by isolation of bacteria during the presence of indwelling urinary catheter or within 7 days before the onset of the symptoms (18).

Confirmed surgical site infection- presence of pus or infected fluid at the site of operative incision that occurred until discharge after the operative procedure followed by isolation of bacteria from the discharge.

Sample size

The sample size (n) was calculated using the prevalence of nosocomial infection 13% in Tikur Anbessa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia (11). The expected margin of error (d) taken was 0.05 with the confidence interval ($Z\alpha/2$) of 95%. The sample size was calculated using single population proportion formula (19). Considering 10% contingency for unknown reason, a total of 192 patients were the minimum estimated sample size for this study.

Social demographic data and specimen collection

All admitted patients during the data collection period were followed daily by the researcher and data collector nurse. Patients who fulfill the inclusion criteria during admission and developed signs of infection during follow up were consented and interviewed by pre-designed and semi-structured questioners for socio-demography and some associated factors data. Then, urine sample, wound swab and venous blood samples were collected following strictly standard operating procedure of sample collection for bacteriological investigation (20,21). Then, immediately the samples were transported to Jimma University Medical Microbiology laboratory (located in the same compound) for further processing.

106

107 **Specimen processing and bacterial identification**

108 Urine and wound swab specimens were inoculated on Blood agar, Mannitol Salt agar and
109 MacConkey agar (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke Hampshire, UK) and processed using standard
110 procedures (20,21). Venous blood samples were drawn in duplicate on separate occasions and
111 directly dispensed into Brain-heart infusion (BHI) broth (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke Hampshire,
112 UK) and processed as described previously. Bacterial isolates were identified using colony
113 morphology, Gram reaction and different biochemical tests (20,21).

114 **Antibiotic sensitivity testing (AST)**

115 **Antibiotic** sensitivity testing was done using Kirby–Bauer modified disc diffusion technique
116 (22). Antibiotic discs selection was made based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institutes
117 recommendations (23). Accordingly the antibiotic discs used for Gram positive bacteria were ;
118 Ampicillin (AMP, 10µg), Ceftriaxone (CRO, 30µg), Chloramphenicol (C, 30µg), Ciprofloxacin
119 (CIP, 5µg), Cloxacillin (OB, 5µg), Doxycycline (DO, 30µg), Erythromycin (E, 5µg), Gentamycin
120 (CN, 10µg), Nalidixic acid (NA, 30µg), Nitrofurantoin (FM, 300µg). Norfloxacin (NOR, 10µg),
121 Oxacillin (OX, 5µg), Penicillin G (P, 10 IU), Tetracycline (TTC, 30µg), Trimethoprim-
122 Sulphamethoxazole (SXT, 25µg). The disc used for Gram negative bacteria were; Amoxicillin
123 (AML, 25µg), Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid (AMC, 30µg), Ampicillin (AMP, 10µg), Ceftriaxone
124 (CRO, 30µg), Chloramphenicol (C, 30µg), Ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5µg). Gentamycin (CN, 10µg),
125 Nalidixic acid (NA, 30µg), Nitrofurantoin (FM, 300µg), Norfloxacin (NOR, 10µg), Tetracycline
126 (TTC, 30µg), Trimethoprim-Sulphamethoxazole (SXT, 25µg).

127 All antibiotic discs were from Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke Hampshire, UK. Standard reference
128 strains of *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923); *E. coli* (25922) and *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) which
129 were sensitive to all the antibiotic drugs were used to check the quality of culture media and the
130 potency of antibiotic discs.

131 **Data management and analysis**

132 Data were collected and checked for completeness, edited, cleaned and entered and analyzed
133 using SPSS windows version16. Descriptive statistics, frequency of drug resistance and MDR
134 pattern of the isolates, frequency distribution of socio demographic and associated factors were
135 done. Chi square test was used to see the association between some factors with culture proven
136 infection. P-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

137 **Results**

138 A total of 500 patients were admitted to Surgical (n=350) and Gynecological (n=150) wards.
139 From the admitted patients only 200 patients (40%) showed clinical signs of infection (figure 1).

140

141

142

143

144

145

146




Fig 1 Overall work flow

The median age of patients with clinical sign of infection was 35 years with a range of 18-75 years. The four main reasons for admission in surgical ward were; intestinal obstruction 23(15.2%), goiter 19 (12.5%), laparotomy 17 (11.25%) and cholecystectomy 16 (10.5%). Likewise the four major reasons for admission in gynecology ward were; cesarean section 11

168 (24.4%), Myoma 11 (22.4%) and ovarian tumor 8 (16.3%). The median length of hospital stay
 169 for admitted patients with clinical sign was 11 days (ranges from 3 to 45 days).

170 From 200 patients that fulfilled the case definition of hospital acquired infections, 70(35%) were
 171 culture positive. The most frequently encountered infection was surgical site infections (SSI) 33
 172 (47.1%) followed by UTI 21 (30%). Patients who had both blood stream infections (BSI) and
 173 SSI were 13(18.6%).The least 3 (4.3%) frequent infection was BSI.

174 Majority 40 (57.1%) of culture positive nosocomial patients were males and the rest (42.9%)
 175 were females. Nineteen (27.1%) patients with urinary catheter and 12 (17.1%) patients with
 176 intra-venous (IV) cannulation had developed culture positive nosocomial infection (Table 1).

177 Table-1 Socio demographic and some associated factors distribution among participants.

Associated factors	Nosocomial infection		
	Yes n (%)	No n=130 (%)	p-value
Sex			
Male	40 (57.1)	63 (48.5)	0.529
Female	30 (42.9)	67 (51.5)	
Age			
<65	61 (87.1)	126 (96.9)	0.007
≥ 65	9 (12.6)	4 (3.1)	
Wards			
Surgical	55 (78.6)	98 (75.4)	0.912
Gynaecological	15 (21.4)	32 (24.6)	
Catheterization			
Yes	19 (27.1)	5(3.9)	

No	51 (72.8)	125 (96.1)	<0.001
IV canulation			
Yes	12 (17.1)	4 (3.1)	
No	58 (82.9)	126 (96.9)	<0.001
Total (n)	70	130	

178 In this study a total of 111 bacterial etiologic agents were isolated. Majority 67 (60.7%) of the
179 isolates were Gram negative bacteria and the rest 44(39.3%) were Gram positive. The most
180 predominant isolates were *Staphylococcus aureus* 22(19.6%), coagulase negative staphylococcus
181 21(18.7%), *Escherichia coli* 9(8.0%), and *Pseudomonas species* 8 (7.0%)(Table 2). The list
182 frequent isolate was *S. pyrogen* 1 (2.27) (data not included in table 2 due to low observation)

Over all 69 (61.6%), 25 (22.3%) and 18 (11.1%) of the isolates were detected from surgical site, urinary tract and blood stream infections respectively. *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Eschericia.coli* and coagulase negative staphylococcus (*CoNS*) were the main bacteria isolated from SSI, UTI and BSI (Figure 2)




Fig 2 Percentage component graph of isolated bacteria in relation to the type of infection. Where SSI - Surgical Site Infection; UTI - Urinary Tract Infection; BSI - Blood Stream Infection

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Over all, Gram positive bacteria showed high level of resistance (> 80% resistance) to most tested antibiotics. The isolates showed 100% resistance against Penicillin (P) and Doxycyclin

193 (DO). Intermediate level of resistance (60-80%) has been observed against Ciprofloxacin (CIP),
194 Norfloxacin (NOR), and Trimethoprim-Sulphamethoxazole (SXT) (Table 2). Surprisingly Gram
195 positive bacteria isolated from urine samples showed 100% resistance to nalidixic acid (NA), and
196 intermediate resistance for Nitrofurantoin (Table 2). Gram negative bacterial isolate showed high
197 level of resistance against Ampicillin (AMP) and Ceftriaxone (CRO). They also developed
198 intermediate level of resistance for most antibiotic tested. However, low level of resistance
199 (<60%) has also been observed against Gentamycin (CN), Ciprofloxacin (CIP) and Norfloxacin
200 (NOR) (Table 2).

201 Table 2, Antibiotic resistance patterns of Bacteria isolates identified from patients admitted to surgical and Gynaecological wards.

	<i>S.aureus</i> n=22 %	<i>CoNS</i> n=21 %	<i>E.coli</i> n=9 %	<i>K.pneumoniae</i> n=5 %	<i>K.oxytoca</i> n=7 %	<i>Enterobacter</i> n=4 %	<i>Citrobacter</i> n=6 %	<i>Serratia</i> n=5 %	<i>P.mirabilis</i> n=7 %	<i>P.vulgaris</i> n=6 %	<i>Providencia</i> n=6 %	<i>Pseudomonas</i> n=8 %	<i>Acinetobacter</i> n=4 %
Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid	NA	NA	66.6	80	100	NA	NA	NA	71.4	83.3	NA	87.5	NA
Ampicillin	95.4	85.7	88.8	NA	100	NA	NA	NA	100	NA	NA	NA	100
Ceftriaxone	NA	90.4	66.6	60	85.7	NA	100	NA	85.7	NA	100	NA	100
Chloramphenicol	90.9	95.2	33.3	40	28.5	100	50	100	57.1	83.3	100	NA	NA
Ciprofloxacin	59.1	76.2	44.4	20	57.1	100	50	80	42.8	16.6	50	50	50
Cloxacillin	90.9	100	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Doxycycline	100	100	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Erythromycin	95.4	95.2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Gentamycin	81.8	85.7	44.4	60	71.4	75	66.6	40	28.6	66.6	66.6	50	50
Nalidixic acid	NA	NA	55.5	60	57.1	75	83.3	80	85.7	33.3	66.6	87.5	50
Nitrofurantoin	80	50	55.5	20	42.8	25	50	60	71.4	83.3	83.3	87.5	50
Norfloxacin	54.5	85.7	44.4	20	57.1	75	50	60	28.6	16.6	50	50	50
Oxacillin	95.4	95.2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Penicillin G	NA	100	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Tetracycline	90.9	95.2	55.5	NA	71.4	75	50	80	NA	NA	NA	NA	100
Trimethoprim-Sulphamethoxazole	77.2	77.2	66.6	40	71.4	100	100	60	85.7	83.3	100	NA	75

202
203 NA; Not applicable.

MDR patterns

Most of the isolated bacteria showed different multi drug resistance (MDR) pattern against tested drugs. MDR is defined as bacteria, that are resistant to two or more classes of antimicrobial agents (24). Based on this definition Gram positive bacteria showed MDR pattern that ranges from 2-8 classes of antibiotics. Likewise the MDR pattern of gram negative bacteria ranges from 2-9 different classes of antibiotics (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Percentage component graph Multi drug Resistance pattern of bacteria isolated from patients admitted to Surgical and Gynaecological wards, JUSH, Jimma Ethiopia. Where **For Gram positive bacteria:** R1=single class resistance, R2=Pencilline+tetracyclines, R3=R2+Macrolids, R4=R3+Chloramphenicol, R5=R4+Cephalosporines, R6=R5+Amonoglycosides, R7=R6+Sulphonamides and R8=R7+quinolones. **For Gram negative bacteria:** R1=single antibiotic class resistance, R2=Penicillin +Tetracycline, R3=R2+Cephalosporines, R4=R3+Sulphonamides, R5=R4+Nitrofurans, R6=R5+Pencilline combinations, R7=R6+Chloramphenicol, R8=R7+Quinolones, R9=R8+aminoglycosides.

219 Discussion

220 The overall prevalence of culture proven nosocomial infection among patients with clinical
221 signs was 35 %. This result is higher than previous studies done in Ethiopia such as, Tikur
222 Anbesa Hospital and Mekele Hospital with prevalence of 13% and 27.6% respectively
223 (11,12) . It is also higher than studies conducted in other countries such as 14.3% in Greece
224 and 13% in Tunisia (25,26).

225 The higher prevalence in this study might be related with high bacterial contamination of
226 Operation Room (OR) and surgical wards. According to previous study report from this
227 hospital, aerobic colony count of the OR room was far beyond the set 5-8cfu/hr acceptable
228 standards for passive room (27). Apart from this time difference of the studies could also be
229 speculated for this huge difference of nosocomial infection rate between this study and
230 previous report from Ethiopia, Tikur Anbesa Hospital which was done towards the end of
231 1980's. It is known that through time there is a possibility for microorganisms to spread in
232 hospital environment due to resistance development against available antibiotics.

233 In this study surgical site infection, with or without association with BSI (65.7%) was the
234 most commonly seen infection. This is because of an increased risk of getting an infection in
235 the hospital by direct invading of the patient's body, which favors the entrance of bacteria in
236 to normal sterile parts of the body. This infection can be acquired from contaminated surgical
237 equipment, operation room, and prolonged hospitalization or from health care workers
238 (1). Our finding is higher as compared to local studies done in Tikur Anbesa hospital with a
239 prevalence rate of 52.1% in 2009 and 52.4% in 2011 respectively (4,5). However, lower than
240 other local study done in St. Paul Hospital (2009) which had reported 79.4% prevalence (4).
241 Similarly it is higher than study findings reported from different countries such as from

242

243 Tunisia (33%) in 2006 and Swiss (30%) in 2005 which might be related with the facility and
244 resource difference required for surgery (26,28,29).

245 A total of 111 bacterial etiologic agents were identified from culture proven nosocomial
246 patients. Of these the isolation rates of Gram negative and gram positive bacteria were 60.7%
247 and 39.3% respectively. This is in agreement with other similar studies done in Ethiopia
248 where more than 60% of the isolates were Gram negative (5,11,12). Among the total 111
249 bacterial isolates from surgical site infection; *Staphylococcus aureus* (15.9%), CoNS
250 (14.5%), and *Pseudomonas* (10.1%), were the dominant isolates. Similar findings have been
251 observed in Nigeria and Swiss (29,30). Among the bacteria isolated from patients with UTI,
252 *E. coli* (24%), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (12%) and *Citrobacter* species (8%) were dominant which
253 is in line with many similar study findings conducted in Ethiopia, Swiss and Nigeria
254 (5,29,31). Among blood stream infection isolates, CoNS (38.9%) *Staphylococcus aureus*
255 (33.3%) and *S. pyogen* (5.6%) were the important etiologic agents and which is comparable
256 with previous studies done in Ethiopia, Nigeria, Taiwan and Tanzania (3,5,32-34).

257 In this study both Gram positive and Gram negative bacterial isolates showed considerable
258 resistance to the commonly prescribed antibiotics in Ethiopia and particularly in the study
259 area, which is comparable with other local study results done in Mekele, Addis Ababa,
260 Bahirdar, and Jimma (5,11,12,35,36)]. , High level of resistance (>80%) was recorded among
261 Gram positive isolates to many tested antibiotics which is comparable with many other study
262 findings done in different countries (36,37).

263 More than 95 % of the *S.aureus* are oxacilin resistant and are likely MRSA isolates. This
264 finding is consistent with previous reports from Ethiopia where 100% and >80% of the
265 isolates showed Methicilline resistance (11,20). This finding is also higher than (75%)
266 Methicillin resistance reported from Taiwan (37).

267 In this study Gentamycin, Ciprofloxacin and Norfloxacin were relatively effective drugs for
268 the treatment of infections caused by Gram negative bacterial isolates, which is comparable
269 with other local study results from Addis Ababa, Mekele and Bahirdar Felegehiwot hospital
270 (5,12,35). The level of resistance is higher in Gram positive as compared with that of Gram
271 negative isolates. Possible reasons for this could be; cross resistance to cell wall synthesis
272 inhibitors, their natural course of resistance and indiscriminate and frequent use of antibiotics
273 in the hospital and prolonged survival of Gram positive bacteria on hospital environment than
274 Gram negative bacteria. Our study findings are in line with other study results done in
275 Ethiopia (31,32,35).

276 According to the study report from different directions of the world, currently resistance rate
277 to the commonly prescribed antibiotics in Ethiopia and other countries is alarmingly high
278 (38). Due to this great emphasis, attention should be given while treating infections caused
279 by hospital isolates. In Ethiopia it is a common practice to purchase antibiotics without
280 prescription, which leads to misuse of antibiotics by the public. This contributes for the
281 emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance bacterial pathogens. Other causal factors can be
282 poor drug quality, poor hospital hygienic conditions and inadequate surveillance, all of which
283 are crucial for good clinical practice and for rational policies against antibiotic resistance
284 (12).

285 This study has few limitations and should be interpreted carefully. We were not able to
286 include pneumonia as one of the common nosocomial infection. This is associated with the
287 inherent limitation of sputum sample which could be contaminated by upper respiratory tract
288 floras and technical difficulty to employ invasive sample collection procedures. In spite of
289 this, we believe this research clearly indicated the magnitude of the problem, the bacteria

profile and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the isolates in Jimma University Specialized Hospital.

Conclusion and recommendation

High prevalence of bacteria nosocomial infection and drug resistance rate was seen in Surgical and gynaecological wards of Jimma University specialized Hospital. This demands an urgent attention to make a concerted effort to minimize nosocomial infections and drug resistance. Further study is recommended to identify and address the main determinant for the observed problems.

Ethical consideration

Ethical approval was obtained from Jimma University, College of Public Health and Medical Sciences Staff Research and Post Graduate coordinating office Ethical Review Committee. Participant information sheet had been explained for potential participants with clinical signs of infection. Signature on consent form was received from participants agreed to be a part of the study. Based on the laboratory results, study participants were treated by the respective Surgeon or Gynecologist on duty. Confidentiality of information was maintained by giving a particular code to each patient and no name of a patient was mentioned during reporting.

References

1. WHO Prevention of hospital-acquired infections. A PRACTICAL GUIDE. WHO/CDS/CSR/EPH/2002.12, 2nd edition. Available at <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s16355e/s16355e.pdf> Accessed on January 2013
2. Wenzel R. The economics of nosocomial infections. Journal of Hospital Infection.1995;31:79-87

- 313 3. Samuel SO, Kayode OO, Musa OI. Nosocomial Infections and the Challenges of
314 Control in Developing Countries. *AJCEM*. 2010;11:102-110.
- 315 4. Messele G, Woldemedhin Y, Demisse M, Mamo K, Geyid A. Common causes of
316 nosocomial infections and their susceptibility patterns in two hospitals in Addis
317 Ababa. *Ethiop J Health Biomed Sci*. 2009;2:1-7.
- 318 5. Endalafer N, Gebre-Selassie S, Kotiso B. Nosocomial bacterial infections in a
319 tertiary hospital in Ethiopia. *J Infect Prev*. 2011;12:38-43.
- 320 6. RahbarR, Gra-Agajiand M, Hashemi S. Nosocomial blood stream infections in
321 Imam Khomeini Hospital, Urmia, Islamic Republic of Iran, 1999–2001. *East
322 Mediterr Health J*. 2005;11:478-84.
- 323 7. Ahmed MM, Bahlas S. Bacteriological profile and antimicrobial resistance
324 patterns of clinical bacterial isolates in a University Hospital. *TMAID*.
325 2009;7:235-38.
- 326 8. Vonberg R-P, Behnke M, Geffers C, Sohr D, Rüden H, Dettenkofer M, et al.
327 Device-associated infection rates for non-intensive care unit patients. *Infection
328 Control*. 2006;27:357-61.
- 329 9. Inan D, Saba R, Yalcin AN, Yilmaz M, Ongut G, Ramazanoglu A, et al. Device-
330 associated nosocomial infection rates in Turkish medical-surgical intensive care
331 units. *Infection Control*. 2006;27:348-8.
- 332 10. Bereket W, Hemalatha K, Getenet B, Wondwossen T, Solomon A, Zeynudin A,
333 et al. Update on bacterial nosocomial infections. *European Review for Medical
334 and Pharmacological Sciences* volume. 2012; 16:1039-44.

- 335 11. Gedebo M, Kronvall G, Habte-Gabr E, Ringertz S. The bacteriology of
336 nosocomial infections at TikurAnbessa Teaching Hospital, Addis Ababa. *Acta*
337 *Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand*, 1987;95:331-6.
- 338 12. Tesfahunegn Z, Asrat D, Woldeamanuel Y, Estifanos K. Bacteriology of surgical
339 site and catheter related urinary tract infections among patients admitted in
340 Mekelle Hospital, Mekelle, Tigray, Ethiopia. *Ethiop Med J*, 2009;47:117-27.
- 341 13. Tenssaie ZW. Multiple antimicrobial resistances in gram negative bacilli isolated from
342 clinical specimens, Jimma Hospital, southwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Medical Journal*.
343 2001;39:305-12.
- 344 14. Teshager L, Asrat D, Gebre-Selassie S, Tamiru S. Catheterized and non-
345 catheterized urinary tract infections among patients attended at Jimma University
346 Teaching Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Medical Journal*. 2008;46:55-
347 62.
- 348 15. Beyene G, Abdissa T. Common Bacterial Pathogens and their antibiotic
349 Sensitivity at Jimma Hospital: A Four-year Retrospective Study. *Ethiopian*
350 *Journal of health Science*. 2000; 10:129-135.
- 351 16. Genet C, Kibru G, Tsegaye W. Indoor air Bacterial load and Antibiotic
352 Susceptibility Pattern of Isolates in Operating room and Surgical wards at Jimma
353 University Specialized Hospital, South West Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of*
354 *Health Sciences*. 2011; 22:1-9.
- 355 17. Jimma University specialized hospital available at [http://www.ju.edu.et/jimma-](http://www.ju.edu.et/jimma-university-specialized-hospital-jush)
356 [university-specialized-hospital-jush](http://www.ju.edu.et/jimma-university-specialized-hospital-jush), Accessed date October, 2014.
- 357 18. APIC - HICPAC Surveillance Definitions for Home Health Care and Home
358 Hospice Infections 2008. Available at

359 [http://www.apic.org/Resource_/TinyMceFileManager/Practice_Guidance/HH-](http://www.apic.org/Resource_/TinyMceFileManager/Practice_Guidance/HH-Surv-Def.pdf)
360 [Surv-Def.pdf](http://www.apic.org/Resource_/TinyMceFileManager/Practice_Guidance/HH-Surv-Def.pdf) Accessed on Sep 2010.

361 19. Sampling Organizations and Groups of Unequal size. *American Societies*
362 *Review*.1965;30:364-75.

363 20. Cheesbrough M. District laboratory practice in tropical countries 2nd edition.
364 Cambridge, Cambridge University press. 2006;p.62-143.Available at
365 [http://fac.ksu.edu.sa/sites/default/files/Book](http://fac.ksu.edu.sa/sites/default/files/Book_District_Laboratory_Practice_in_Tropical_Countries_Part-2_Monica_Cheesbrough.pdf)
366 [District_Laboratory_Practice_in_Tropical_Countries_Part-](http://fac.ksu.edu.sa/sites/default/files/Book_District_Laboratory_Practice_in_Tropical_Countries_Part-2_Monica_Cheesbrough.pdf)
367 [2_Monica_Cheesbrough.pdf](http://fac.ksu.edu.sa/sites/default/files/Book_District_Laboratory_Practice_in_Tropical_Countries_Part-2_Monica_Cheesbrough.pdf) . Accessed on December 2012

368 21. Vandepitte J, Verhaegen J, Engback E, Rohner P. Basic laboratory procedures in
369 clinical bacteriology 2nd edition. WHO, Geneva. 2003. Available at
370 [https://www.scribd.com/doc/60638952/Basic-Lab-Procedures-in-Clinical-](https://www.scribd.com/doc/60638952/Basic-Lab-Procedures-in-Clinical-Bacteriology-2nd-Ed-WHO-2003-WW)
371 [Bacteriology-2nd-Ed-WHO-2003-WW](https://www.scribd.com/doc/60638952/Basic-Lab-Procedures-in-Clinical-Bacteriology-2nd-Ed-WHO-2003-WW). Accessed on December 2012.

372 22. Bauer AW, Kirby MW, Sherris JC, Truck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by
373 a standard single disk method. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 1966;45: 493-6.

374 23. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for
375 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twentieth first Informational Supplement.
376 2011;30: M100-S20, 2010.

377 24. Management of Multi Drug Resistance Organisms in health care settings CDC,
378 2006. <http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/MDRO/MDROGuideline2006.pdf>
379 accessed on August, 2015

- 380 25. Gikas A, Roumbelaki M, Pediaditis J, Nikolaidis P, Levidiotou S, Kartali S.
381 Prevalence of nosocomial infections after surgery in Greek hospitals: results of
382 two nationwide surveys. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2004;25:319-24.
- 383 26. Dridi E, Chetoui A, Zaoui A. Investigation of the Prevalence of nosocomial
384 infection in a Tunisian regional hospital. *Sante publique*.2006;18:187-94.
- 385 27. Genet C, Kibru G, Tsegaye W. Indoor air Bacterial load and Antibiotic
386 Susceptibility Pattern of Isolates in Operating room and Surgical wards at Jimma
387 University Specialized Hospital, South West Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Ethiop J health*
388 *Sci*. 2011;22:1-9.
- 389 28. Kallel H, Bahoul M, Ksibi H. Prevalence of hospital-acquired infection in a
390 Tunisian hospital. *J Hosp Infect*. 2005;59:343-7.
- 391 29. Harbartha S, Ruefb C, Francioli P, Widmerd A, Pittetafor D. Nosocomial
392 infections in Swiss university hospitals: a multi-center survey and review of the
393 published experience. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr*. 1999;129:1521-8.
- 394 30. Oni AA,Ewete AF, Gbaja A, TKolade AF, Mutiu WB, Adeyemo DA *et al*.
395 Nosocomial Infection: Surgical Site Infection in UCH Ibadan, Nigeria. *J Surg*
396 *Res*.2006;8:19-23.
- 397 31. Gedebo M, Habte-Gabr E, Kronvall G, Yoseph S. Hospital-acquired infections
398 Hospital-acquired infections among obstetric and gynecological patients at
399 TikurAnbessa Hospital, Addis Ababa. *J Hosp Infect*,vol. 1988;11:50-59.

- 400 32. Asrat D, Woldeamanuel Y. Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of
401 Bacterial Isolates from Blood Cultures in Tikur Anbessa Hospital, Addis Ababa,
402 Ethiopia. *Ethiop Med J.* 2001;39:97-104.
- 403 33. Wu CJ, Lee HC, Lee NY, Shih HI, Ko NY, Wang LR. Predominance of Gram-
404 negative bacilli and increasing antimicrobial resistance in nosocomial
405 bloodstream infections at university hospital in southern Taiwan, 1996-2003. *J*
406 *Microbiol Immunol Infect.* 2006;135-43.
- 407 34. Moyo S, Aboud S, Kasubi M, Maselle SY. Bacteria isolated from bloodstream
408 infections at a tertiary hospital in Dare Salaam, Tanzania – antimicrobial
409 resistance of isolates. *S AFR MED J.* 2010;100:835-8.
- 410 35. Mulu W, Kibru G, Beyene G, Damtie M. Post-operative nosocomial infection
411 and antimicrobial resistance pattern of bacterial isolates among patients admitted
412 at Felegehiwot referral hospital, Bahirdar Ethiopia. *Ethiop J health Sci.*
413 2012;22:7-18.
- 414 36. Teshager L, Asrat D, Gebre-Selassie S, Tamiru S. Catheterized and non-
415 catheterized urinary tract infections among patients attended at Jimma University
416 Teaching Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia. *Ethiop Med J.* 2008;46:55-62.
- 417 37. Chang SC, Chen YC, Hsu LY. Epidemiologic study of pathogens causing
418 nosocomial infections. *J Formos Med Assoc.* 1990;89:1023-30.
- 419 38. Randrianirina F, Vaillant L, Ramarokoto CE, Rakotoarijaona A, Andriamanarivo
420 ML, Razafimahandry H *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance in pathogens causing
421 nosocomial infections in surgery and intensive care wards in Antananarivo,
422 Madagascar. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2010;4:074-82.

423 **Legends**

424 Figure 1 **over all work flow of the study**

425 Fig 2 **Percentage component graph of isolated bacteria in relation to the type of**
426 **infection.** Where; CoNs= coagulase negative staphylococcus species, SSI= Surgical site
427 infection, UTI=Urinary tract infection, BSI= Blood stream infection, CoNs= coagulase
428 negative staphylococcus species.

429 Figure 3, **Percentage component graph Multi drug Resistance pattern of bacteria**
430 **isolated from patients admitted to Surgical and Gynaecological wards;** CoNs= coagulase
431 negative staphylococcus species; For Gram positive bacteria; R1=single class resistance,
432 R2=Penicillin + tetracyclines, R3=R2+Macrolids, R4=R3+Chloramphenicol,
433 R5=R4+Cephalosporines, R6=R5+Amonoglycosides, R7=R6+Sulphonamides and
434 R8=R7+quinolones; For Gram negative bacteria, R1=single antibiotic class resistance,
435 R2=Penicillin +Tetracycline, R3=R2+Cephalosporines, R4=R3+Sulphonamides,
436 R5=R4+Nitrofurans, R6=R5+Pencilline combinations, R7=R6+Chloramphenicol,
437 R8=R7+Quinolones, R9=R8+aminoglycosides.