



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_30257
Title of the Manuscript:	EARLY RECOGNITION OF MALARIA OR DENGUE COMPLICATED WITH THROMBOCYTOPENIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<u>Abstract</u> Sample size refers to 134 cases of acute fever and trombocytopenia (not only trombocytopenia) <u>Material and methods</u> It is stated that clinical, haematological and biochemical parameters have been evaluated every two days. However it is unclear if the days of fever start from the presentation to the hospital or from the occurrence of fever, even at home. This point should be clarified. If the evaluations refer to the days from the beginning of fever, several time point may be not available for all patients In fact, in the result section it is stated that duration of fever at presentation was 4.11 in Dengue and 4.84 in Malaria. I suggest to change the title: "Methods and Study Design" <u>Results</u> It is stated that there was a male preponderance in cases of fever associated to trombocytopenia but no data are shown. Table 1: are the differences statistically significant? Data reported in Table2 are the same shown in figures 1 and 2. I suggest to delete table 2. Please provide statistical analysis. <u>Discussion</u> The discussion is very long. I suggest to focus the discussion on the possible clinical use of the differences observed between Dengue and Malaria in the clinical, biochemical and haematological course.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	The comparison with other studies is not relevant.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Specific Comments Page 3, line 52-53: Platelet count less than 150,000/mcl (not 1,50,000/mcl) Figure 5: Platelet count < 150,000/mcl (not 1,50,000/mcl) Figure 6: Platelet count < 150,000/mcl (not 1,50,000/mcl) I suggest to delete lines 217 -221 and Table 8. The comparison with these other studies does not add any relevant information to the manuscript. I suggest to delete Table 9 since does not add any relevant information to the text (lines 234-236).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The information may be useful but, in my opinion, the manuscript needs major changes to be published	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Andrea Crosignani</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Internal Medicine and Liver Unit, Ospedale San Paolo, University of Milan, Italy</i>