



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_28622
Title of the Manuscript:	Coital abstinence and High Risk Sexual behaviour among husbands of postpartum women, in Ikenne, Southwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No 77. Leslie-Kish formula $n = z^2pq/d^2$ (Provide reference). No 90. After obtaining verbal consent (witnessed by 2 or more persons) No 268-271. Conclusion focussed majorly on the topic but should also relate within the context of the aims of the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some corrections have been effected in text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Summarily; the manuscript is of some Revision, though negligible The Manuscript is of good scientific output. Abstract is concise and precise Keywords are adequate and fits within the context of the article Introduction relates properly with the topic raised without unnecessary deviation from focus points Materials and methods are delivered logically and reproducible Results presented are convincing and obtainable. However, a statistician may need to take a look at the statistics again.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Discussion is with a sense of focus without unnecessary deviation from the points of discuss with relations to the literatures cited earlier. Conclusion is within the context of the topic only but must also relate with the aims of the study. References require some corrections	
--	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Odigie, Bolaji Efosa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department Of Medical Laboratory Science, University Of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria</i>