



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_27544
Title of the Manuscript:	Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders: A Case of Office-Based Civil Servants in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Abstract is written without clear requisite parts. 2. Key words need to correct. 3. Introduction is poor related to trial area; no important facts (disease epidemiology, complications, correlation with ergonomics, benefits of ergonomics practice etc). 4. No aim/purpose. 5. Methodology: too many formulas; when was study, how was randomization made; depending on what study population was divided to LGA-C and Min-C (territory? age? work character?); dissonance with "four answers and 5 ratings 5,4,3,2,1 (page 4)"; "summary demographic information"-only age? 6. Results presentation correction is needed: doubt about table 1-5 need; legend to 2-7; an appropriate results description is needed. 7. Discussion- no clear findings described, no comparison with scientific literature (office, workstation awareness, correlation etc); some parts of discloser depends to results section. 8. Conclusion- too many, too expanded with interpretation which belongs to discussion section.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Reference list only 30% consist of new literature; what relation with topic has 5	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	reference; Wikipedia (8) unfits to scientific article; what did mean Harrington {5} (page 2) if 5- Paul reference. 2. English language problems. 3. Layout correction. 4. Would be interesting correlation of bad awareness with poor health, relation with age groups or other. 5. How iii recommendation based on study findings?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lolita Rapoliene
Department, University & Country	Department Of Nusrsing, Klaipeda University, Lithuania