



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_27366
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of schistosomiasis control programmes and assessing factors contributing to re-infections in risk populations in Uganda: A grounded theory and qualitative study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is an interesting paper of reading for researchers in Schistosoma because it reflects the reality of schistosomiasis in endemic areas. From my point of view the work can be published with minor corrections. Specially, text writing must be revised (there are problems of drafting and punctuation, fused words...). -Line 15: "2 District Health Officers". Space between 2 and District. -Line 34: authors say: "There are both river and lake species of <i>S.mansoni</i>". <i>S. mansoni</i> is a single species. There may be two types, kinds or variants (river and lake) of <i>S. mansoni</i>; not two species -Keywords: without brackets; with semicolons -Use [] for references in text; not () -Line 50: space between intestine and ureters -Line 50: the authors cite <i>S. mansoni</i> and <i>S. japonicum</i> (an Asian species) and not <i>S. intercalatum</i> (present in Africa) -Line 58: space before the parenthesis, not after -Lines 59-61: this phrase is not well constructed and punctuated -Line 69: behaviours instead of bahaviours -Lines 91 and 92: 5 Km instead of 5KM -Line 100: 21 fishermen and 17 sand miners (spaces between number and word) -Line 106: "... have been recommended by Delmar (12) ..." OR "... have been recommended previously (12) ..."	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	-Line 107: "... 2 District ..." -Line 154: "... For example, 10 of 21 fishermen inquired ..." -Table 1 is not called in the text -Line 173, 175: Spaces before brackets -Line 188: Replace script by a comma -Line 223: Spaces between words The conclusions of the work are correct and appropriate. Obviously, for the population the best solution would be a vaccination or immunization, but unfortunately today this is not realistic. Review the format of the references. Reference number without parentheses. No space between the initials of the authors. Two points before the initial page (no comma). The titles of journals should be abbreviated according to the style used for MEDLINE (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals) In general, authors should take care typos. In many cases there are no spaces before parentheses, or between number and word.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rafael A. Martínez-Díaz</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dept. Preventive Medicine, Public Health and Microbiology, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Spain</i>