



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_27233
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal Activity of Phytochemicals against Samples of Cladosporium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title: (1) add commonly found in <i>M. officinalis</i> after phytochemicals. (2) Add <i>Cladophialaphora</i> after <i>Cladosporium</i> (3) change samples to species 2. Abstract: (1) change ten samples to ten species (2) Change Microbiological screening to antifungal susceptibility testing (3) remove name of testing laboratory (4) change samples to species. (5) Add <i>Cladophialaphora</i> after <i>Cladosporium</i> 3. Line 49: 2.048 instead of 1.024 4. Line 53: ten species instead of ten samples 5. Line 54: Change <i>cladosporium carrioniii</i> to <i>Cladophialaphora carrionii</i> 6. Line 60: Change the samples to The fungal cultures 7. Line 71: 0.5 McFarland does not contain 10^6 CFU/ml. Pls check 8. Line 73: The more standardised inoculum is 10^5 cfu/ml 9. Line 75: Change antifungal activity screening to Antifungal susceptibility testing 10. General comment on susceptibility testing: Te test should better be conducted using a serial dilution of phytochemicals (broth microdilution test) and score for minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC). In one way it will shows the exact potential of each phytochemicals and in other way it will serve as quality control of results. 11. Line 77 and 78: Change Microbiological screening to Antifungal susceptibility testing 12. Line 81: Change products to phytochemicals and antifungal drugs 13. Line 85: 7 days incubation is considered too long for antifungal testing. 14. Line 86: add Sabauroud dextrose before without drugs 15. Line 87: Change sporangiospores to conidia 16. Please add number of replicates in doing antifungal susceptibility testing 17. Line 92: Change Microbiological screening to Antifungal susceptibility testing 18. Line 92 : wherever appropriate add <i>Cladophialaphora</i> after mentioning <i>Cladosporium</i>. Change all <i>cladosporium cariionii</i> to <i>cladophialaphora carrionii</i> 19. Line 126: change demaceous to dematiaceous <p>table 1: (1) Phytochemicals are for citral to pinenen – not include Amphotericin B (2)</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Change strain control to culture control. Add a column on medium control (medium without phytochemicals and inoculum). 20. Conclusion: Confine your conclusion to just effect of phytochemicals on Cladosporium or Cladophialaphora.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Fuat Abd Razak
Department, University & Country	Infectious Diseases Research Centre, Institute for Medical Research, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia