SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_26940**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Epidemiological Trend of Malaria Cases in India: A Statistical Analysis.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	After reviewing this paper, I find it very weak. I can't consider it as original research or short communication. the author (s) focused on the prevalence rate of Malaria in India. There is too many writing mistakes There are too many repetition At the beginning, The authors used abbreviation without any explaining About references citation in the text, the authors used both methods (numeric "1,2…" and names " Alemu et al. 2012..). Usually, we must use one method Fig 2 is not necessary! Table 3 had not been cited in the text. I guess this table 3 is not necessary	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Damascus university, Syria**